

# Self-orthogonal $\tau$ -tilting modules and tilting modules

Xiaojin Zhang (Jiangsu Normal University)

**ICRA 21, Shanghai Jiaotong University**

August 8, 2024

# AN OUTLINE

- Background.
- Preliminaries.
- Main results.
- Main references.

# THE SETUP

- All algebras are finite-dimensional algebras over a field  $K$ , and all modules are finitely generated right modules.
- All tilting modules are classical tilting modules.
- $\mathbb{D}$  is the ordinary dual and  $\tau = \mathbb{D}\text{Tr}$ .

# Background

Tilting modules have been central in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras since 1970s. The recent definition of tilting modules is due to Happel and Ringel, see [HR] for details.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra and  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ .  $T$  is called a tilting module if the following are satisfied:

- $\text{Pd } T \leq 1$ ,
- $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(T, T) = 0$ ,
- $|T| = |\Lambda|$ .

The third item is equivalent to

- There is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow 0$  with  $T_i \in \text{add}T$ .

Although tilting theorem and tilted algebras made the tilting theory fruitful, we want to emphasize the following two easy facts:

**Facts 1.2** Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra and  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$  a tilting module.

- If  $M \in \text{Fac}T = T^\perp$  and  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(T, M) = 0$ , then  $M = 0$ .
- If  $M \in \text{Fac}T = T^\perp$ , then  $\text{PdHom}_\Lambda(T, M) \leq \text{Pd}M$ .

# Background

Tilting modules are generalized to  $\tau$ -tilting modules by Adachi, Iyama and Reiten in 2014 from the viewpoint of mutations. From then on, we get a method in computing tilting modules for algebras of finite representation type in theory. It is showed that  $\tau$ -tilting theory is closely related to cluster-tilting theory and silting theory, see[AIR] for details.

**Definition 1.3** Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra and  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ .

- We call  $T$  a  $\tau$ -rigid module if  $\text{Hom}(T, \tau T) = 0$ ,
- We call  $T$  a  $\tau$ -tilting module if it is  $\tau$ -rigid and  $|T| = |\Lambda|$ ,
- We call  $T$  a support  $\tau$ -tilting module if it is a  $\tau$ -tilting module over  $\Lambda/(e)$ , where  $e$  is an idempotent.

# Background

It is showed in [AIR] that tilting modules are faithful support  $\tau$ -tilting modules and  $\tau$ -tilting modules are sincere support  $\tau$ -tilting modules.



**Example 1.4** Let  $\Lambda$  be the finite dimensional algebra given by the quiver  $Q : 1 \xrightarrow{a_1} 2 \xrightarrow{a_2} 3$  with the relation  $a_1 a_2 = 0$ . Then

- There are only two tilting modules  $P(1) \oplus P(2) \oplus S(3)$ ,  $P(1) \oplus P(2) \oplus S(2)$ .
- There is a unique 2-tilting  $P(1) \oplus P(2) \oplus S(1)$ .
- There is a unique non-tilting  $\tau$ -tilting module  $P(1) \oplus S(1) \oplus S(3)$ .

# Background

- Classify  $\tau$ -tilting modules over a given algebra, see [Mi] for preprojective algebras of Dynkin type, see [A] for Nakayama algebras, see [IZ] for the Auslander algebra of  $k[x]/(x^n)$  .
- Characterize algebras in terms of  $\tau$ -tilting modules, see [DIJ].

In [XZZ], we showed that self-orthogonal  $\tau$ -tilting modules admit very similar properties with tilting modules.

**Proposition 1.6** Let  $A$  be an algebra and  $T$  a self-orthogonal  $\tau$ -tilting module in  $\text{mod}A$  with  $B = \text{End}_A T$ . If  $M \in \text{Fac}T \cap T^\perp$ , then  $\text{Pd}_B \text{Hom}_A(T, M) \leq \text{Pd}_A M$  holds.

**Question 1.7** Is a self-orthogonal  $\tau$ -tilting module a tilting module?

We should remark that the question is a special case of the Auslander-Reiten conjecture [AuR] as well as the Tachikawa's second conjecture [T] whenever the algebra is self-injective. Recently Wei also study this question in terms of Wakamatsu tilting modules.

**Auslander-Reiten Conjecture:** Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra and  $M \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ . If  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(M \oplus \Lambda, M \oplus \Lambda) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ , then  $M$  is projective.

**Tachikawa's second Conjecture:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a self-injective algebra and  $M \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ . If  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(M, M) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ , then  $M$  is projective.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow T_0 \xrightarrow{f} X$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{mod}\Lambda$ , where  $T$  is  $\tau$ -rigid, and  $f : T_0 \rightarrow X$  is a minimal right  $\text{add}T$ -approximation of  $X$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(Y, \tau T) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$  be a  $\tau$ -rigid module. Then the following are equivalent.

- $T$  is a  $\tau$ -tilting module.
- $(\text{Fac}T, \text{Sub}\tau T)$  is a torsion pair.

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$  be a  $\tau$ -tilting module. For any  $M \in \text{Fac}T$ , there is an exact sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow T_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} T_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$  with  $T_i \in \text{add}T$  and  $\text{Ker}f_i \in \text{Fac}T$ .



**Theorem 2.4** For any  $M, N \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ ,  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, N) \simeq \mathbb{D}\overline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(N, \tau M)$  holds.

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$  be a  $\tau$ -tilting module and let  $M \in \text{mod}\Lambda$  satisfy  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(T, M) = 0$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(T, M) = 0$  holds if and only if  $M = 0$ .

**Proof**  $\Rightarrow$  On the contrary, suppose that  $M \neq 0$ . Then the injective envelope  $I^0(M)$  is not 0. Since  $T$  is a  $\tau$ -tilting module, one gets a torsion pair  $(\text{Fac}T, \text{Sub}\tau T)$  by Theorem 2.2. Then  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(T, M) = 0$  implies that  $M \in \text{Sub}\tau T$ . That is,  $M \simeq (\tau T)^m$  for some integer  $m \geq 1$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(T, M) = 0$ , by Theorem 2.4, one gets  $\overline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(M, \tau T) = 0$  which implies that the monomorphism  $f : M \hookrightarrow (\tau T)^m$  factors through an injective module, and hence factors through the injective envelope  $h : M \hookrightarrow I^0(M)$ , that is,  $f = gh$  for some  $g : I^0(M) \rightarrow (\tau T)^m$ . Since  $h$  is an essential monomorphism, one gets that  $g$  is a monomorphism, and hence  $I^0(M)$  is a direct summand of  $\tau T$ . This is a contradiction.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$  be a  $\tau$ -tilting module. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- $T$  is a tilting module.
- $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(T, \text{Fac}T) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$
- $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(T, \text{Fac}T) = 0$  for  $i = 2$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- $T$  is a tilting module.
- $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(T, \text{Fac}T) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $|T| = |\Lambda|$ .
- $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(T, \text{Fac}T) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $|T| = |\Lambda|$ .

**Lemma 3.4** Let  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$  be a  $\tau$ -tilting module with  $\text{Pd}_\Lambda T = d < \infty$ . If  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(T, T) = 0$  holds for all  $i \geq 1$ , then  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(T, \text{Fac}T) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra and  $T \in \text{mod}\Lambda$  a  $\tau$ -tilting module of finite projective dimension. Then  $T$  is a tilting module if and only if  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(T, T) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

We should remark that a self-orthogonal support  $\tau$ -tilting modules need not be partial tilting modules. We give an example to illustrate it.

**Example 3.6** Let  $\Lambda$  be the finite dimensional algebra given by the quiver  $Q : 1 \xrightarrow{a_1} 2 \xrightarrow{a_2} 3$  with the relation  $a_1 a_2 = 0$ . Then  $S(1) = 1$  is an injective support  $\tau$ -tilting module with projective dimension 2 and hence not a partial tilting module.



**Theorem 3.7**[CLZZ] Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra of finite representation type and  $T$  a  $\tau$ -tilting module in  $\text{mod}\Lambda$ . Then  $T$  is a tilting module if and only if  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(T, T) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

We remark that Prof. Jiaqun Wei also gets Theorem 3.8 independently by using Wakamatsu tilting modules. We also remark that Prof. Wen Chang give a positive answer to the question over gentle algebras by using the geometric model.

## Main references

- [A1] T. Adachi, The classification of  $\tau$ -tilting modules over Nakayama algebras, *J. Algebra*, 452(2016), 227-262.
- [AIR] T. Adachi, O. Iyama and I. Reiten,  $\tau$ -tilting theory, *Compos. Math.*, 150(3)(2014), 415-452.
- [AuR] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, On a generalized version of the Nakayama conjecture, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52 (1975), 69-74.
- [DIJ] L. Demonet, O. Iyama and G. Jasso,  $\tau$ -tilting finite algebras, bricks and  $g$ -vectors, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 3(2019), 852-892.
- [HaR] D. Happel and C. M. Ringel, Tilted algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 274(2)(1982), 399-443.

## Main references

- [IZ] O. Iyama and X. Zhang, Classifying  $\tau$ -tilting modules over the Auslander algebra of  $K[x]/(x^n)$ , *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 72(3)(2020), 731-764.
- [M] Y. Mizuno, Classifying  $\tau$ -tilting modules over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type, *Math. Zeit.*, 277(3)(2014), 665-690.
- [T] H. Tachikawa, Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Berlin-Heidelberg- New York, 1973
- [XZZ] Z. Xie, L. Zan and X. Zhang, Three results for  $\tau$ -rigid modules, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 49(8)(2019), 2791-2807
- [Z] X. Zhang, Self-orthogonal  $\tau$ -tilting modules and tilting modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 2022, No 106860.
- [CLZZ] X. Chen, Z. Li, X. Zhang and Z. Zhao, Self-orthogonal  $\tau$ -tilting modules and tilting modules II: finite representation type, In preparation.

Thanks

**Thanks for your attention!!!**