

Derived Picard groups and integration of Hochschild cohomology (arXiv:2405.14448)

Sebastian Opper

Charles University, Prague

08/08/2024

Derived Picard groups

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible**

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A$$

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) := \{\text{invertible } X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\} / \cong$$

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

$$\mathcal{DPic}(A) := \{\text{invertible } X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\} / \cong$$

with multiplication $\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

$$\mathcal{DPic}(A) := \{\text{invertible } X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\} / \cong$$

with multiplication $\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ and neutral element A .

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

$$\mathcal{DPic}(A) := \{\text{invertible } X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\} / \cong$$

with multiplication $\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ and neutral element A .

- $X \in \mathcal{DPic}(A) \rightsquigarrow$ autoequivalence $X_* : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

$$\mathcal{DPic}(A) := \{\text{invertible } X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\} / \cong$$

with multiplication $\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ and neutral element A .

- $X \in \mathcal{DPic}(A) \rightsquigarrow$ autoequivalence $X_* : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$.
- $F : A \rightarrow A$ (dg) algebra automorphism $\rightsquigarrow A_F \in \mathcal{DPic}(A)$.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

$$\mathcal{DPic}(A) := \{\text{invertible } X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\} / \cong$$

with multiplication $\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ and neutral element A .

- $X \in \mathcal{DPic}(A) \rightsquigarrow$ autoequivalence $X_* : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$.
- $F : A \rightarrow A$ (dg) algebra automorphism $\rightsquigarrow A_F \in \mathcal{DPic}(A)$.

Upshot: $\mathcal{DPic}(A)$ is the (enhanced) symmetry group of $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

$$\mathcal{DPic}(A) := \{\text{invertible } X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\} / \cong$$

with multiplication $\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ and neutral element A .

- $X \in \mathcal{DPic}(A) \rightsquigarrow$ autoequivalence $X_* : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$.
- $F : A \rightarrow A$ (dg) algebra automorphism $\rightsquigarrow A_F \in \mathcal{DPic}(A)$.

Upshot: $\mathcal{DPic}(A)$ is the (enhanced) symmetry group of $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. A dg bimodule $X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ is **invertible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})$ such that

$$X \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} Y \cong A \quad \text{and} \quad Y \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X \cong A.$$

The **derived Picard group** is the group

$$\mathcal{DPic}(A) := \{\text{invertible } X \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\} / \cong$$

with multiplication $\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ and neutral element A .

- $X \in \mathcal{DPic}(A) \rightsquigarrow$ autoequivalence $X_* : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$.
- $F : A \rightarrow A$ (dg) algebra automorphism $\rightsquigarrow A_F \in \mathcal{DPic}(A)$.

Upshot: $\mathcal{DPic}(A)$ is the (enhanced) symmetry group of $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

Hochschild cohomology

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology**

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology** $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is the graded endomorphism ring of A inside $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology** $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is the graded endomorphism ring of A inside $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$. That is,

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology** $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is the graded endomorphism ring of A inside $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$. That is,

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Can be computed from a canonical complex $C(A)$,

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology** $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is the graded endomorphism ring of A inside $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$. That is,

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Can be computed from a canonical complex $C(A)$, the **Hochschild complex**.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology** $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is the graded endomorphism ring of A inside $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$. That is,

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Can be computed from a canonical complex $C(A)$, the **Hochschild complex**.

The graded algebra $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ also admits a compatible Lie bracket $[-, -]$,

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology** $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is the graded endomorphism ring of A inside $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$. That is,

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Can be computed from a canonical complex $C(A)$, the **Hochschild complex**.

The graded algebra $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ also admits a compatible Lie bracket $[-, -]$, the **Gerstenhaber bracket**.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology** $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is the graded endomorphism ring of A inside $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$. That is,

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Can be computed from a canonical complex $C(A)$, the **Hochschild complex**.

The graded algebra $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ also admits a compatible Lie bracket $[-, -]$, the **Gerstenhaber bracket**.

Definition

Let A be a (dg) algebra. Its **Hochschild cohomology** $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is the graded endomorphism ring of A inside $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$. That is,

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Can be computed from a canonical complex $C(A)$, the **Hochschild complex**.

The graded algebra $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ also admits a compatible Lie bracket $[-, -]$, the **Gerstenhaber bracket**.

From derived Picard groups to Hochschild cohomology

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra.

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)) \cong \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)) \cong \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

$\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ and its Gerstenhaber bracket are derived invariants of A .

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)) \cong \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

$\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ and its Gerstenhaber bracket are derived invariants of A .

In practice $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is much easier to compute than $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$.

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)) \cong \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

$\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ and its Gerstenhaber bracket are derived invariants of A .

In practice $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is much easier to compute than $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$.

Conceptual reason:

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)) \cong \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

$\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ and its Gerstenhaber bracket are derived invariants of A .

In practice $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is much easier to compute than $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$.

Conceptual reason:

- 1 $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ can be computed directly from A (“local”).

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)) \cong \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

$\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ and its Gerstenhaber bracket are derived invariants of A .

In practice $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is much easier to compute than $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$.

Conceptual reason:

- 1 $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ can be computed directly from A (“local”).
- 2 $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is the symmetry group of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and “sees” its entire structure (“global”).

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)) \cong \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

$\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ and its Gerstenhaber bracket are derived invariants of A .

In practice $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is much easier to compute than $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$.

Conceptual reason:

- 1 $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ can be computed directly from A (“local”).
- 2 $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is the symmetry group of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and “sees” its entire structure (“global”).

Idea

Extract parts of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ from its Lie algebra $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$.

Theorem (Keller)

Let A be an algebra. There is a sensible way to assign a graded Lie algebra to $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)) \cong \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

$\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ and its Gerstenhaber bracket are derived invariants of A .

In practice $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ is much easier to compute than $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$.

Conceptual reason:

- 1 $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$ can be computed directly from A (“local”).
- 2 $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is the symmetry group of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and “sees” its entire structure (“global”).

Idea

Extract parts of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ from its Lie algebra $\text{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\{\text{Lie groups}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\}$$
$$G \longmapsto \text{Lie}(G),$$
$$\exp(L) \longleftarrow L.$$

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{Lie groups}\} & \longleftrightarrow & \{\text{Lie algebras}\} \\ G & \longmapsto & \text{Lie}(G), \\ \exp(L) & \longleftarrow & L. \end{array}$$

Process loses information:

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\{\text{Lie groups}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\}$$
$$G \longmapsto \text{Lie}(G),$$
$$\exp(L) \longleftarrow L.$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_0 \subseteq G$ identity component,

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\{\text{Lie groups}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\}$$
$$G \longmapsto \text{Lie}(G),$$
$$\exp(L) \longleftarrow L.$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_o \subseteq G$ identity component,

$$\exp(L) \subseteq G_o.$$

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\{\text{Lie groups}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\}$$

$$G \longmapsto \text{Lie}(G),$$

$$\exp(L) \longleftarrow L.$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_o \subseteq G$ identity component,

$$\exp(L) \subseteq G_o.$$

$\exp(L)$ is image of map $\exp : L \rightarrow G$ defined by integrating vector fields.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{Lie groups}\} &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\} \\ G &\longmapsto \text{Lie}(G), \\ \exp(L) &\longleftarrow L. \end{aligned}$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_0 \subseteq G$ identity component,

$$\exp(L) \subseteq G_0.$$

$\exp(L)$ is image of map $\exp : L \rightarrow G$ defined by integrating vector fields. In neighbourhood $0 \in U \subseteq L$, one has

$$\exp(u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v) = \exp(u) \cdot \exp(v), \quad (+)$$

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{Lie groups}\} & \longleftrightarrow & \{\text{Lie algebras}\} \\ G & \longmapsto & \text{Lie}(G), \\ \exp(L) & \longleftarrow & L. \end{array}$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_o \subseteq G$ identity component,

$$\exp(L) \subseteq G_o.$$

$\exp(L)$ is image of map $\exp : L \rightarrow G$ defined by integrating vector fields. In neighbourhood $0 \in U \subseteq L$, one has

$$\exp(u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v) = \exp(u) \cdot \exp(v), \quad (+)$$

where \cdot_{BCH} is the **Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product**:

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\{\text{Lie groups}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\}$$

$$G \longmapsto \text{Lie}(G),$$

$$\exp(L) \longleftarrow L.$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_0 \subseteq G$ identity component,

$$\exp(L) \subseteq G_0.$$

$\exp(L)$ is image of map $\exp : L \rightarrow G$ defined by integrating vector fields. In neighbourhood $0 \in U \subseteq L$, one has

$$\exp(u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v) = \exp(u) \cdot \exp(v), \quad (+)$$

where \cdot_{BCH} is the **Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product**:

$$u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v := u + v - \frac{1}{2}[u, v] + \frac{1}{12}[u, [u, v]] + \dots$$

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{Lie groups}\} &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\} \\ G &\longmapsto \text{Lie}(G), \\ \exp(L) &\longleftarrow L. \end{aligned}$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_o \subseteq G$ identity component,

$$\exp(L) \subseteq G_o.$$

$\exp(L)$ is image of map $\exp : L \rightarrow G$ defined by integrating vector fields. In neighbourhood $0 \in U \subseteq L$, one has

$$\exp(u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v) = \exp(u) \cdot \exp(v), \quad (+)$$

where \cdot_{BCH} is the **Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product**:

$$u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v := u + v - \frac{1}{2}[u, v] + \frac{1}{12}[u, [u, v]] + \dots$$

$(+) \implies \exp : (U, \cdot_{\text{BCH}}) \longrightarrow G$ is a **group homomorphism**.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{Lie groups}\} &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\} \\ G &\longmapsto \text{Lie}(G), \\ \exp(L) &\longleftarrow L. \end{aligned}$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_o \subseteq G$ identity component,

$$\exp(L) \subseteq G_o.$$

$\exp(L)$ is image of map $\exp : L \rightarrow G$ defined by integrating vector fields. In neighbourhood $0 \in U \subseteq L$, one has

$$\exp(u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v) = \exp(u) \cdot \exp(v), \quad (+)$$

where \cdot_{BCH} is the **Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product**:

$$u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v := u + v - \frac{1}{2}[u, v] + \frac{1}{12}[u, [u, v]] + \dots$$

$(+) \implies \exp : (U, \cdot_{\text{BCH}}) \longrightarrow G$ is a **group homomorphism**.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras I

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{Lie groups}\} &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lie algebras}\} \\ G &\longmapsto \text{Lie}(G), \\ \exp(L) &\longleftarrow L. \end{aligned}$$

Process loses information: $L := \text{Lie}(G)$, $G_o \subseteq G$ identity component,

$$\exp(L) \subseteq G_o.$$

$\exp(L)$ is image of map $\exp : L \rightarrow G$ defined by integrating vector fields. In neighbourhood $0 \in U \subseteq L$, one has

$$\exp(u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v) = \exp(u) \cdot \exp(v), \quad (+)$$

where \cdot_{BCH} is the **Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product**:

$$u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v := u + v - \frac{1}{2}[u, v] + \frac{1}{12}[u, [u, v]] + \dots$$

$(+) \implies \exp : (U, \cdot_{\text{BCH}}) \longrightarrow G$ is a **group homomorphism**.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times$

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Summary

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Summary

- $L = \text{Lie}(G)$ recovers subgroup of $\exp(L) \subseteq G_o \subseteq G$ through exponential.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Summary

- $L = \text{Lie}(G)$ recovers subgroup of $\exp(L) \subseteq G_o \subseteq G$ through exponential.
- Group structure on $\exp(L)$ is locally encoded in BCH product.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Summary

- $L = \text{Lie}(G)$ recovers subgroup of $\exp(L) \subseteq G_o \subseteq G$ through exponential.
- Group structure on $\exp(L)$ is locally encoded in BCH product.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Summary

- $L = \text{Lie}(G)$ recovers subgroup of $\exp(L) \subseteq G_o \subseteq G$ through exponential.
- Group structure on $\exp(L)$ is locally encoded in BCH product.

Aim

Find a similar relationship between $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(-)$ and $\text{HH}^\bullet(-)$.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Summary

- $L = \text{Lie}(G)$ recovers subgroup of $\exp(L) \subseteq G_o \subseteq G$ through exponential.
- Group structure on $\exp(L)$ is locally encoded in BCH product.

Aim

Find a similar relationship between $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(-)$ and $\text{HH}^\bullet(-)$.

Obstacle: No vector fields, no integration and no topology.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Summary

- $L = \text{Lie}(G)$ recovers subgroup of $\exp(L) \subseteq G_o \subseteq G$ through exponential.
- Group structure on $\exp(L)$ is locally encoded in BCH product.

Aim

Find a similar relationship between $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(-)$ and $\text{HH}^\bullet(-)$.

Obstacle: No vector fields, no integration and no topology.

Motivating analogy: Lie groups and Lie algebras II

Example (Classic example)

- $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \rightsquigarrow L = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and $\exp(t) = e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} t^i$ is injective with $\text{Im exp} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $u \cdot_{\text{BCH}} v = u + v$.
- In general: exp is not injective!

Summary

- $L = \text{Lie}(G)$ recovers subgroup of $\exp(L) \subseteq G_o \subseteq G$ through exponential.
- Group structure on $\exp(L)$ is locally encoded in BCH product.

Aim

Find a similar relationship between $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(-)$ and $\text{HH}^\bullet(-)$.

Obstacle: No vector fields, no integration and no topology.

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.
- Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on $C(A)$:

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.
- Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on $C(A)$:

$$[f, g] := f \star g - (-1)^{|f|} g \star f.$$

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.
- Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on $C(A)$:

$$[f, g] := f \star g - (-1)^{|f|} g \star f.$$

$[-, -]$ induces the Gerstenhaber bracket on $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$.

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.
- Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on $C(A)$:

$$[f, g] := f \star g - (-1)^{|f|} g \star f.$$

$[-, -]$ induces the Gerstenhaber bracket on $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$.

The pair $(C(A), \star)$ is an example of a **pre-Lie algebra**.

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.
- Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on $C(A)$:

$$[f, g] := f \star g - (-1)^{|f|} g \star f.$$

$[-, -]$ induces the Gerstenhaber bracket on $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$.

The pair $(C(A), \star)$ is an example of a **pre-Lie algebra**.

Natural dg Lie subalgebra $C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.
- Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on $C(A)$:

$$[f, g] := f \star g - (-1)^{|f|} g \star f.$$

$[-, -]$ induces the Gerstenhaber bracket on $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$.

The pair $(C(A), \star)$ is an example of a **pre-Lie algebra**.

Natural dg Lie subalgebra $C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$ admits complete norm so that $u \cdot_{\mathrm{BCH}} v$ is defined for all $u, v \in C_+(A)$.

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.
- Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on $C(A)$:

$$[f, g] := f \star g - (-1)^{|f|} g \star f.$$

$[-, -]$ induces the Gerstenhaber bracket on $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$.

The pair $(C(A), \star)$ is an example of a **pre-Lie algebra**.

Natural dg Lie subalgebra $C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$ admits complete norm so that $u \cdot_{\mathrm{BCH}} v$ is defined for all $u, v \in C_+(A)$.

$$\implies (C_+^1(A), \cdot_{\mathrm{BCH}}) \text{ is a group.}$$

Integration of Hochschild cohomology I

Let A be a dg algebra and $C(A)$ its Hochschild complex.

- $C(A)$ admits non-associative product \star with left unit $\mathbf{1}$.
- Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on $C(A)$:

$$[f, g] := f \star g - (-1)^{|f|} g \star f.$$

$[-, -]$ induces the Gerstenhaber bracket on $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A, A)$.

The pair $(C(A), \star)$ is an example of a **pre-Lie algebra**.

Natural dg Lie subalgebra $C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$ admits complete norm so that $u \cdot_{\mathrm{BCH}} v$ is defined for all $u, v \in C_+(A)$.

$$\implies (C_+^1(A), \cdot_{\mathrm{BCH}}) \text{ is a group.}$$

Integration of Hochschild cohomology II

Definition (pre-Lie exponential)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$.

Definition (pre-Lie exponential)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. The **exponential** $\exp_A : C_+(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ is defined as

$$\exp_A(f) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} f^{*i},$$

Definition (pre-Lie exponential)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. The **exponential** $\exp_A : C_+(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ is defined as

$$\exp_A(f) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} f^{\star i},$$

where $f^{\star i}$ is defined inductively by $f^{\star(i+1)} = f^{\star i} \star f$ and $f^{\star 0} = \mathbf{1}$.

Definition (pre-Lie exponential)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. The **exponential** $\exp_A : C_+(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ is defined as

$$\exp_A(f) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} f^{*i},$$

where f^{*i} is defined inductively by $f^{*(i+1)} = f^{*i} \star f$ and $f^{*0} = \mathbf{1}$.

The filtration $0 \subseteq C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$

Definition (pre-Lie exponential)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. The **exponential** $\exp_A : C_+(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ is defined as

$$\exp_A(f) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} f^{*i},$$

where f^{*i} is defined inductively by $f^{*(i+1)} = f^{*i} \star f$ and $f^{*0} = \mathbf{1}$.

The filtration $0 \subseteq C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$ induces a filtration

$$0 \subseteq \text{HH}_+^\bullet(A, A) \subseteq \text{HH}^\bullet(A, A).$$

Definition (pre-Lie exponential)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. The **exponential** $\exp_A : C_+(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ is defined as

$$\exp_A(f) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} f^{*i},$$

where f^{*i} is defined inductively by $f^{*(i+1)} = f^{*i} \star f$ and $f^{*0} = \mathbf{1}$.

The filtration $0 \subseteq C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$ induces a filtration

$$0 \subseteq \text{HH}_+^{\bullet}(A, A) \subseteq \text{HH}^{\bullet}(A, A).$$

The set $\text{HH}_+^1(A, A)$ becomes a group under \cdot_{BCH}

Definition (pre-Lie exponential)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. The **exponential** $\exp_A : C_+(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ is defined as

$$\exp_A(f) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} f^{*i},$$

where f^{*i} is defined inductively by $f^{*(i+1)} = f^{*i} \star f$ and $f^{*0} = \mathbf{1}$.

The filtration $0 \subseteq C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$ induces a filtration

$$0 \subseteq \text{HH}_+^{\bullet}(A, A) \subseteq \text{HH}^{\bullet}(A, A).$$

The set $\text{HH}_+^1(A, A)$ becomes a group under \cdot_{BCH} (usually more complicated than vector addition!).

Definition (pre-Lie exponential)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. The **exponential** $\exp_A : C_+(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ is defined as

$$\exp_A(f) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} f^{*i},$$

where f^{*i} is defined inductively by $f^{*(i+1)} = f^{*i} \star f$ and $f^{*0} = \mathbf{1}$.

The filtration $0 \subseteq C_+(A) \subseteq C(A)$ induces a filtration

$$0 \subseteq \text{HH}_+^{\bullet}(A, A) \subseteq \text{HH}^{\bullet}(A, A).$$

The set $\text{HH}_+^1(A, A)$ becomes a group under \cdot_{BCH} (usually more complicated than vector addition!).

Integration for Hochschild cohomology III

Theorem (O.)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ and A is a dg algebra (c-unital A_∞ -category).

Theorem (O.)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ and A is a dg algebra (c -unital A_∞ -category).

- 1 \exp_A induces a group homomorphism

$$\exp_A : (\text{HH}_+^1(A), \cdot_{\text{BCH}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Theorem (O.)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ and A is a dg algebra (c -unital A_∞ -category).

- ① \exp_A induces a group homomorphism

$$\exp_A : (\text{HH}_+^1(A), \cdot_{\text{BCH}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Note: *does not require any finiteness assumptions.*

Theorem (O.)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ and A is a dg algebra (c -unital A_∞ -category).

- 1 \exp_A induces a group homomorphism

$$\exp_A : (\text{HH}_+^1(A), \cdot_{\text{BCH}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Note: *does not require any finiteness assumptions.*

- 2 *The assignment $A \rightarrow \exp_A$ is natural under quasi-equivalences.*

Theorem (O.)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ and A is a dg algebra (c -unital A_∞ -category).

- 1 \exp_A induces a group homomorphism

$$\exp_A : (\text{HH}_+^1(A), \cdot_{\text{BCH}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Note: does not require any finiteness assumptions.

- 2 The assignment $A \rightarrow \exp_A$ is natural under quasi-equivalences.

$F : A \xrightarrow{\sim} B \Rightarrow$ canonical commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{HH}_+^1(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\exp_A} & \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) \\ F_* \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow F_* \\ \text{HH}_+^1(B, B) & \xrightarrow{\exp_B} & \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(B). \end{array}$$

Theorem (O.)

Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ and A is a dg algebra (c -unital A_∞ -category).

- 1 \exp_A induces a group homomorphism

$$\exp_A : (\text{HH}_+^1(A), \cdot_{\text{BCH}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Note: *does not require any finiteness assumptions.*

- 2 The assignment $A \rightarrow \exp_A$ is natural under quasi-equivalences.

$F : A \xrightarrow{\sim} B \Rightarrow$ canonical commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{HH}_+^1(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\exp_A} & \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) \\ F_* \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow F_* \\ \text{HH}_+^1(B, B) & \xrightarrow{\exp_B} & \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(B). \end{array}$$

Proof relies on work by many people.

Injectivity of exponential

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A .

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

- 1 *A is formal, i.e. $A \simeq H^\bullet(A)$,*

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

- 1 *A is formal, i.e. $A \simeq H^\bullet(A)$, e.g. A is a graded algebra/category.*

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

- 1 *A is formal, i.e. $A \simeq H^\bullet(A)$, e.g. A is a graded algebra/category.*
- 2 *A is cohomologically schurian, i.e. $H^0(A) \cong \mathbb{k}$. Analogous statement for categories.*

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

- 1 *A is formal, i.e. $A \simeq H^\bullet(A)$, e.g. A is a graded algebra/category.*
- 2 *A is cohomologically schurian, i.e. $H^0(A) \cong \mathbb{k}$. Analogous statement for categories.*
- 3 *A is an E_2 -algebra,*

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

- 1 *A is formal, i.e. $A \simeq H^\bullet(A)$, e.g. A is a graded algebra/category.*
- 2 *A is cohomologically schurian, i.e. $H^0(A) \cong \mathbb{k}$. Analogous statement for categories.*
- 3 *A is an E_2 -algebra, e.g. any commutative dg algebra,*

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

- 1 *A is formal, i.e. $A \simeq H^\bullet(A)$, e.g. A is a graded algebra/category.*
- 2 *A is cohomologically schurian, i.e. $H^0(A) \cong \mathbb{k}$. Analogous statement for categories.*
- 3 *A is an E_2 -algebra, e.g. any commutative dg algebra, cochain algebra $C^\bullet(X)$ over topological space X ,*

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

- 1 *A is formal, i.e. $A \simeq H^\bullet(A)$, e.g. A is a graded algebra/category.*
- 2 *A is cohomologically schurian, i.e. $H^0(A) \cong \mathbb{k}$. Analogous statement for categories.*
- 3 *A is an E_2 -algebra, e.g. any commutative dg algebra, cochain algebra $C^\bullet(X)$ over topological space X , Hochschild complexes.*

Injectivity of exponential

One can prove a general sufficient criterion for the injectivity of \exp_A . An application is the following.

Theorem (O.)

The exponential $\exp_A : \mathrm{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is injective in any of the following cases:

- 1 *A is formal, i.e. $A \simeq H^\bullet(A)$, e.g. A is a graded algebra/category.*
- 2 *A is cohomologically schurian, i.e. $H^0(A) \cong \mathbb{k}$. Analogous statement for categories.*
- 3 *A is an E_2 -algebra, e.g. any commutative dg algebra, cochain algebra $C^\bullet(X)$ over topological space X , Hochschild complexes.*

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra.

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_\circ(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_o(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

Theorem (Huisgen Zimmermann-Saorin, Rouquier)

$\text{Out}_o(A)$ is a derived invariant of A .

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_\circ(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

Theorem (Huisgen Zimmermann-Saorin, Rouquier)

$\text{Out}_\circ(A)$ is a derived invariant of A .

For A graded, this is no longer true.

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_o(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

Theorem (Huisgen Zimmermann-Saorin, Rouquier)

$\text{Out}_o(A)$ is a derived invariant of A .

For A graded, this is no longer true. But: $\text{Out}_o(A)$ and Im exp_A assemble into a subgroup

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_o(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

Theorem (Huisgen Zimmermann-Saorin, Rouquier)

$\text{Out}_o(A)$ is a derived invariant of A .

For A graded, this is no longer true. But: $\text{Out}_o(A)$ and Im exp_A assemble into a subgroup

$$\text{Aut}_o^\infty(A) := \text{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rtimes \text{Out}_o(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_o(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

Theorem (Huisgen Zimmermann-Saorin, Rouquier)

$\text{Out}_o(A)$ is a derived invariant of A .

For A graded, this is no longer true. But: $\text{Out}_o(A)$ and Im exp_A assemble into a subgroup

$$\text{Aut}_o^\infty(A) := \text{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rtimes \text{Out}_o(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Conjecture

Let A be a fin.dim graded algebra

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_\circ(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

Theorem (Huisgen Zimmermann-Saorin, Rouquier)

$\text{Out}_\circ(A)$ is a derived invariant of A .

For A graded, this is no longer true. But: $\text{Out}_\circ(A)$ and Im exp_A assemble into a subgroup

$$\text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) := \text{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rtimes \text{Out}_\circ(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Conjecture

Let A be a fin.dim graded algebra such that $\{a \in A^1 \mid a^2 = 0\} = \{0\}$.

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_\circ(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

Theorem (Huisgen Zimmermann-Saorin, Rouquier)

$\text{Out}_\circ(A)$ is a derived invariant of A .

For A graded, this is no longer true. But: $\text{Out}_\circ(A)$ and Im exp_A assemble into a subgroup

$$\text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) := \text{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rtimes \text{Out}_\circ(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Conjecture

Let A be a fin.dim graded algebra such that $\{a \in A^1 \mid a^2 = 0\} = \{0\}$. Then $\text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A)$ is a derived invariant.

The identity component of $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ and outer automorphisms

Theorem (Yekutieli)

Let A be fin.dim. algebra. Then the identity component of the derived invariant group $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ is $\text{Out}_o(A)$, the identity component of the outer automorphism group.

Theorem (Huisgen Zimmermann-Saorin, Rouquier)

$\text{Out}_o(A)$ is a derived invariant of A .

For A graded, this is no longer true. But: $\text{Out}_o(A)$ and Im exp_A assemble into a subgroup

$$\text{Aut}_o^\infty(A) := \text{HH}_+^1(A, A) \rtimes \text{Out}_o(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A).$$

Conjecture

Let A be a fin.dim graded algebra such that $\{a \in A^1 \mid a^2 = 0\} = \{0\}$. Then $\text{Aut}_o^\infty(A)$ is a derived invariant.

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface.

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

*Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface.
If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$*

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

*Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface.
If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) ,*

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) , there exists an isomorphism

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) , there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) \cong \text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) \rtimes \mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A). \quad (*)$$

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) , there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) \cong \text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) \rtimes \mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A). \quad (*)$$

$$\mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A) = \{f : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A \mid f \text{ preserves structure}\}_\sim$$

denotes the mapping class group.

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) , there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) \cong \text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) \rtimes \mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A). \quad (*)$$

$$\mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A) = \{f : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A \mid f \text{ preserves structure}\}_\sim$$

denotes the mapping class group.

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) , there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) \cong \text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) \rtimes \mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A). \quad (*)$$

$$\mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A) = \{f : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A \mid f \text{ preserves structure}\}_\sim$$

denotes the mapping class group.

Viewing $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ as a “Lie group” G ,

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) , there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) \cong \text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) \rtimes \mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A). \quad (*)$$

$$\mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A) = \{f : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A \mid f \text{ preserves structure}\}_\sim$$

denotes the mapping class group.

Viewing $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ as a “Lie group” G , the semi-direct product $(*)$ corresponds to the canonical sequence

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) , there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{DPic}(A) \cong \text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) \rtimes \text{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A). \quad (*)$$

$$\text{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A) = \{f : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A \mid f \text{ preserves structure}\}_\sim$$

denotes the mapping class group.

Viewing $\mathcal{DPic}(A)$ as a “Lie group” G , the semi-direct product $(*)$ corresponds to the canonical sequence

$$\mathbf{1} \longrightarrow G_\circ \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/G_\circ \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}.$$

Application: partially wrapped Fukaya categories & graded gentle algebras

Theorem (O., extends O.'19)

Let A be a fin.-dim. graded gentle algebra and (Σ_A, η_A) its surface. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ or under mild conditions on (Σ_A, η_A) , there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A) \cong \text{Aut}_\circ^\infty(A) \rtimes \mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A). \quad (*)$$

$$\mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_A, \eta_A) = \{f : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A \mid f \text{ preserves structure}\}_\sim$$

denotes the mapping class group.

Viewing $\mathcal{D}\text{Pic}(A)$ as a “Lie group” G , the semi-direct product $(*)$ corresponds to the canonical sequence

$$\mathbf{1} \longrightarrow G_\circ \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/G_\circ \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}.$$

Other applications:

Other applications:

- Necessary condition for uniqueness of lifts of triangulated functors to enhancements

Other applications:

- Necessary condition for uniqueness of lifts of triangulated functors to enhancements and the related uniqueness problem for Fourier-Mukai kernels.
- Derived Picard groups of Fukaya categories of cotangent bundles (and plumbings). Related to chains and cochains on spaces.

Other applications:

- Necessary condition for uniqueness of lifts of triangulated functors to enhancements and the related uniqueness problem for Fourier-Mukai kernels.
- Derived Picard groups of Fukaya categories of cotangent bundles (and plumbings). Related to chains and cochains on spaces.

Thank you for your attention!