

Homotopy equivalences over rings with finite Gorenstein weak global dimension

Junpeng Wang (Northwest Normal University)

This is a joint work with Profs. Sergio Estrada
Thanks also to: Profs. Zhongkui Liu, Li Liang, Christensen, and Thompson
ICAR 21, 2024, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai

August 9, 2024

Outline

- 1 Gorenstein global dimension vs Gorenstein weak global dimension
- 2 Chen's homotopy equivalence
- 3 Our results

Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein flat modules

- Let R be a ring. Denote by $R\text{-Mod}$ the category of left R -modules and by \mathcal{GP} (resp. \mathcal{GF} and \mathcal{GI}) its subcategory consisting of all Gorenstein projective (resp. Gorenstein flat and Gorenstein injective) modules.
- A left R -module M is called **Gorenstein projective** (resp. **Gorenstein flat**) if there exists a totally acyclic complex P^\bullet of projective left R -modules (resp. an **F**-totally acyclic complex P^\bullet of flat left R -modules) such that $M = Z^0(P^\bullet)$.
- A complex P^\bullet of projective left R -modules is called **totally acyclic** if $\text{Hom}_R(P^\bullet, Q)$ is acyclic for any projective left R -module Q ; A complex P^\bullet of flat left R -modules is called **F-totally acyclic** if $I \otimes_R P^\bullet$ is acyclic for any injective right R -module I .

Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein flat modules

- It is an open problem whether or not $\mathcal{GP} \subseteq \mathcal{GF}$ for any ring R .

Proposition (W E) Let R be a **left virtually Gorenstein ring** (that is, R satisfies $\mathcal{GP}^\perp = {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}$). Then $\mathcal{GP} \subseteq \mathcal{GF}$.

Gorenstein projective dimension and Gorenstein flat dimension

- Let R be a ring and M a left R -module. As usual, the notations $\text{Gpd}_R(M)$ and $\text{Gfd}_R(M)$ stand for the Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein flat dimensions of M , respectively. That is, the \mathcal{GP} -resolution and \mathcal{GF} -resolution dimensions of M , respectively.
- It is an open problem whether or not $\mathcal{GP} \subseteq \mathcal{GF}$ for any ring R . So we do not know that

$$\text{Gfd}_R(M) \leq \text{Gpd}_R(M)$$

hold in general.

Gorenstein (weak) global dimension of rings

If we take the supremum from all modules, we can get two invariants of R :

$$\text{Ggldim}(R) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \sup\{\text{Gpd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is a left } R\text{-module}\}$$

$$\text{Gwgl dim}(R) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \sup\{\text{Gfd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is a left } R\text{-module}\}$$

- They are a refinement of $\text{gldim}(R)$ and $\text{wgl dim}(R)$ respectively.
- It is known that $\text{wgl dim}(R) \leq \text{gldim}(R)$, the key is $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.
- Although we do not know whether or not $\mathcal{GP} \subseteq GF$, using different methods, we (CELTW; WYSZ) obtain an inequality as follows.

$$\text{Gwgl dim}(R) \leq \text{Ggldim}(R).$$

Gorenstein (weak) global dimension of rings, continued

- Note that the inequality

$$\text{Gwgldim}(R) \leq \text{Ggldim}(R)$$

can be strict and even can form an extreme.

- For example, let $R = F_\alpha$ be the free Boolean ring on \aleph_α generators with α an infinite cardinality. Then one has $\text{Gwgldim}(R) = 0$ and $\text{Ggldim}(R) = \infty$.

Three different generalizations of Gorenstein rings

A ring R is called *Gorenstein* (resp. *Ding-Chen*) if it is a two-sided Noetherian (resp. two-sided coherent) ring with finite self-injective (self-FP-injective) dimension on both sides; A ring R is called *left Gorenstein* if $\text{Ggldim}(R) < \infty$.

Three different generalizations of Gorenstein rings

- We summarize that generalizations of Gorenstein rings go in three different directions:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \{ \text{Gorenstein rings} \} \xrightarrow{\subsetneq} \\
 \{ \text{two - sided Noetherian rings with a duality complex} \} \\
 \{ \text{Gorenstein rings} \} \xrightarrow{\subsetneq} \{ \text{left Gorenstein rings} \} \xrightarrow{\subsetneq} \\
 \{ R \text{ with } \text{Gwgl dim}(R) < \infty \} \\
 \{ \text{Gorenstein rings} \} \xrightarrow{\subsetneq} \{ \text{Ding - Chen rings} \} \xrightarrow{\subsetneq} \\
 \{ R \text{ with } \text{Gwgl dim}(R) < \infty \}.
 \end{array}$$

In summary, the second and the third ones all admit finite Gorenstein weak global dimension, and hence are left and right virtually Gorenstein.

Iyengar-Krause's homotopy equivalence

- As usual, denote by $K(R\text{-GProj})$ (resp. $K(R\text{-GInj})$) the homotopy category of Gorenstein projective (resp. Gorenstein injective) left R -modules. Its homotopy subcategory consisting of projective (resp. injective) left R -modules is denoted by $K(R\text{-Proj})$ (resp. $K(R\text{-Inj})$).
- We also denote by $K_{\text{ac}}(R\text{-Proj})$ (resp. $K_{\text{ac}}(R\text{-Inj})$) the homotopy subcategory of $K(R\text{-Proj})$ (resp. $K(R\text{-Inj})$) consisting of exact complexes of projective (resp. injective) left R -modules.

Iyengar-Krause's homotopy equivalence

Theorem A Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with a duality complex D , then there is a triangle equivalence

$$\mathbf{K}(R\text{-Proj}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(R\text{-Inj})$$

Asadollahi, Hafezi and Salarian (2014) proved that

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with a duality complex D , then there is a triangle equivalence $\mathbf{K}(R\text{-GProj}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(R\text{-GInj})$ which restricts to a triangle equivalence $\mathbf{K}(R\text{-Proj}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(R\text{-Inj})$.

Chen's homotopy equivalence

Using the tools of balanced pairs, Chen (2010) obtained a parallel result.

Theorem B Let R be a left Gorenstein ring (that is, $\text{Ggldim}(R) < \infty$). Then there is a triangle equivalence $\mathbf{K}(R\text{-GProj}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(R\text{-GInj})$ which restricts to a triangle equivalence $\mathbf{K}(R\text{-Proj}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(R\text{-Inj})$.

For commutative Gorenstein rings, Chen's equivalence extends Lyengar-Krause's homotopy equivalence.

The question

Question Does the same result hold for any Ding-Chen ring?

A general result

Using the tools of torsion pairs (or stable t-structures) of triangulated categories,

Theorem 0 Let R be a left virtually Gorenstein ring (i.e., $\mathcal{GP}^\perp = {}^\perp \mathcal{GI}$). Then we have a triangle equivalence

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{dgGProj}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{dgGInj})$$

Affirmative answer to the question

Recall that a ring R is Ding-Chen if and only if R is a two-sided coherent ring with $\text{Gwgldim}(R) < \infty$.

Theorem C Let R be a ring with $\text{Gwgldim}(R) < \infty$. Then there is a triangle equivalence $\mathbb{K}(R\text{-GProj}) \simeq \mathbb{K}(R\text{-GInj})$ which restricts to a triangle equivalence $\mathbb{K}(R\text{-Proj}) \simeq \mathbb{K}(R\text{-Inj})$.

The use of finiteness of Gorenstein weak global dimension

- $\text{Gwgldim}(R) < \infty$ implies that R is left (and right) virtually Gorenstein, so Theorem 0 applies to obtain

$$K(\text{dgGProj}) \simeq K(\text{dgGInj}).$$

- $\text{Gwgldim}(R) < \infty$ also implies that

$$K(\text{dgGProj}) = K(R\text{-GProj}) \text{ and } K(\text{dgGInj}) = K(R\text{-GInj}).$$

- the restrictness is easy.

Compare Theorem B and Theorem C

- Applying Theorem C, one can obtain a triangle equivalence $K_{ac}(R\text{-Proj}) \simeq K_{ac}(R\text{-Inj})$.
- Using symmetry of $\text{Gwgl dim}(R)$, one can also obtain the triangle equivalences for right R -modules from Theorem C.

An application of Theorem C

- Let R be a Ding-Chen ring. Then we have a triangle equivalence:

$$\mathbf{K}(R\text{-FlatCot}) \simeq \mathbf{K}(R\text{-FIinjFProj})$$

Thank you!