

The Deligne – Simpson Problem
via
weighted projective lines
and
deformed preprojective algebras

Andrew Hubery

Universität Bielefeld

ICRA 21, Shanghai, August 2024

Overview

Talk 1

Sheaves

Talk 2

Connections

Talk 3

The Deligne – Simpson Problem

Connections on \mathbb{P}^1

Today: purely algebraic approach.

Atiyah defined a functorial short exact sequence

$$\alpha(E): \quad 0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow A(E) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

Explicitly, given $E = (E^\pm; \theta) \in \text{coh } \mathbb{P}^1$, the sheaf $A(E)$ has charts $E^\pm \times E^\pm$, where

$$s^\pm \text{ acts via } \begin{pmatrix} s^\pm & 0 \\ \pm 1 & s^\pm \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and glue is } \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & s^{-2}\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Connections on \mathbb{P}^1

Today: purely algebraic approach.

Atiyah defined a functorial short exact sequence

$$\alpha(E): \quad 0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow A(E) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

Explicitly, given $E = (E^\pm; \theta) \in \text{coh } \mathbb{P}^1$, the sheaf $A(E)$ has charts $E^\pm \times E^\pm$, where

$$s^\pm \text{ acts via } \begin{pmatrix} s^\pm & 0 \\ \pm 1 & s^\pm \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and glue is } \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & s^{-2}\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Now ∇ is a **connection** on E if and only if $\left(\frac{1}{\nabla}\right)$ is a section of $\alpha(E)$.

A connection is given by k -linear endomorphisms ∇^\pm of E^\pm such that

$$\nabla^\pm(s^\pm x) = s^\pm \nabla^\pm(x) \pm x \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^+(\theta(x)) = s^{-2}\theta(\nabla^-(x))$$

Generalised Atiyah classes

Functoriality gives for $f: E \rightarrow F$

$$A(E \oplus F) = A(E) \oplus A(F) \quad \text{and} \quad A(f): A(E) \rightarrow A(F)$$

but construction does **not** commute with shift

$$A(E(1)) \not\cong A(E)(1).$$

Generalised Atiyah classes

Functoriality gives for $f: E \rightarrow F$

$$A(E \oplus F) = A(E) \oplus A(F) \quad \text{and} \quad A(f): A(E) \rightarrow A(F)$$

but construction does **not** commute with shift

$$A(E(1)) \not\cong A(E)(1).$$

Led to 2-parameter deformation. For $\mu, \nu \in k$ define functorial

$$\alpha_{\mu, \nu}(E): \quad 0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow A_{\mu, \nu}(E) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

For $E = (E^\pm; \theta)$ the sheaf $A_{\mu, \nu}(E)$ has charts $E^\pm \times E^\pm$, where

$$s^\pm \text{ acts via } \begin{pmatrix} s^\pm & 0 \\ \pm \nu & s^\pm \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and glue is } \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ \mu s^{-\theta} & s^{-2\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Generalised Atiyah classes

Proposition

$$\textit{Shift} \quad \alpha_{\mu,\nu}(E)(1) = \alpha_{\mu+\nu,\nu}(E(1))$$

$$\textit{Baer sum} \quad \alpha_{\mu,\nu} + t\alpha_{\mu',\nu'} = \alpha_{\mu+t\mu',\nu+t\nu'}$$

$$\textit{Serre pairing} \quad \langle \text{id}, \alpha_{\mu,\nu}(E) \rangle = \mu \text{ rank } E - \nu \text{ deg } E$$

Thus have family of functorial short exact sequences indexed by linear functionals $K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow k$.

Atiyah sequence is for $(\mu, \nu) = (0, 1)$.

Connections on \mathbb{P}^1

By analogy call ∇ a (μ, ν) -**connection** on E if $(\frac{1}{\nabla})$ is a section of $\alpha_{\mu, \nu}(E)$.

Proposition

Sheaf E admits (μ, ν) -connection $\iff \mu \operatorname{rank} E' = \nu \operatorname{deg} E'$ for all indecomposable summands E' of E .

Special cases (in characteristic zero)

E admits $(m, 1)$ -connection $\iff E \in \operatorname{add} \mathcal{O}(m)$.

E admits $(1, 0)$ -connection $\iff E \in \operatorname{tor} \mathbb{P}^1$.

In characteristic p every indecomposable torsion sheaf of length divisible by p admits an $(m, 1)$ -connection

Connections on \mathbb{X}

Extend this idea to construct family of functorial short exact sequences in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ indexed by linear functionals $K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow k$.

Recall: Standard presentation of $\mathcal{E} \in \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ ended

$$\pi! E_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i,p} \pi! E_{pX_i}(pX_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

Connections on \mathbb{X}

Extend this idea to construct family of functorial short exact sequences in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ indexed by linear functionals $K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow k$.

Recall: Standard presentation of $\mathcal{E} \in \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ ended

$$\pi_! E_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i,p} \pi_! E_{pX_i}(pX_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

For $\omega = -2c + \bar{w} \in \mathbb{L}$ this yields surjection

$$\Psi: \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}}(E_0, E_0(-2)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i,p} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}}(E_{pX_i}, E_{pX_i}(-2)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}(\omega))$$

Serre pairing satisfies

$$\langle f, \Psi(\eta_0, \eta_{pX_i}) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} = \langle f_0, \eta_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} + \sum_{i,p} \langle f_{pX_i}, \eta_{pX_i} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}$$

Connections on \mathbb{X}

Theorem

Take $\chi: K_0(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow k$, say

$$\chi[\mathcal{E}] = \mu \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{E} - \nu_0 \operatorname{deg} E_0 - \sum_{i,p} \nu_{ip} \operatorname{deg} E_{px_i}$$

Then

$$\beta_\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \Psi(\alpha_{\mu, \nu_0}(E_0), \alpha_{0, \nu_{ip}}(E_{px_i}))$$

gives functorial short exact sequence

$$\beta_\chi(\mathcal{E}): \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\omega) \longrightarrow B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0$$

such that

$$\langle \operatorname{id}, \beta_\chi(\mathcal{E}) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} = \chi[\mathcal{E}]$$

Category of connections

By analogy call ∇ a χ -connection on \mathcal{E} if $(\frac{1}{\nabla})$ is a section of $\beta_\chi(\mathcal{E})$.

As B_χ functorial, get category $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ having

- ① objects (\mathcal{E}, ∇) for sheaf $\mathcal{E} \in \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ with connection ∇
- ② morphism $f: (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$ is $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ fitting into commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) & \xleftarrow{(\frac{1}{\nabla})} & \mathcal{E} \\
 B_\chi(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 B_\chi(\mathcal{E}') & \xleftarrow{(\frac{1}{\nabla'})} & \mathcal{E}'
 \end{array}$$

Category of connections

Theorem

The category $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ is k -linear, abelian and noetherian.

If $\text{char } k = 0$ and $\nu = \nu_0 + \sum_{ip} \nu_{ip}$ nonzero, then length category.

Category of connections

Theorem

The category $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ is k -linear, abelian and noetherian.

If $\text{char } k = 0$ and $\nu = \nu_0 + \sum_{ip} \nu_{ip}$ nonzero, then length category.

When $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ is a length category want to describe the simple objects.

First: Describe classes $[\mathcal{E}] \in K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X})$ for simples (\mathcal{E}, ∇) .

This is essentially the Deligne – Simpson Problem !

Proof: abelian

Easy: $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ is k -linear and additive.

Show abelian. Take morphism $f: (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$.

Let $\iota: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be kernel for f in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$. Then $B_\chi(\iota)$ is kernel of $B_\chi(f)$.

Composite

$$\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nabla \end{pmatrix}} B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{B_\chi(f)} B_\chi(\mathcal{E}')$$

equals $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nabla' \end{pmatrix} f \iota = 0$, so $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nabla \end{pmatrix} \iota$ factors uniquely through $B_\chi(\iota)$.

Proof: abelian

Easy: $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ is k -linear and additive.

Show abelian. Take morphism $f: (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$.

Let $\iota: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be kernel for f in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$. Then $B_\chi(\iota)$ is kernel of $B_\chi(f)$.

Composite

$$\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nabla \end{pmatrix}} B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{B_\chi(f)} B_\chi(\mathcal{E}')$$

equals $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nabla' \end{pmatrix} f \iota = 0$, so $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nabla \end{pmatrix} \iota$ factors uniquely through $B_\chi(\iota)$.

Get section $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow B_\chi(\mathcal{K})$. Similarly for cokernels.

Finally, map from coimage of f to image of f is an isomorphism in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$, so is an isomorphism in $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$.

Proof: noetherian

Take ascending chain inside (\mathcal{E}, ∇)

$$(\mathcal{E}_1, \nabla_1) \subseteq (\mathcal{E}_2, \nabla_2) \subseteq \dots$$

Get ascending chain of sheaves inside \mathcal{E} . Stabilises since $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ noetherian.

Get inclusions $(\mathcal{E}', \nabla'_1) \subseteq (\mathcal{E}', \nabla'_2) \subseteq \dots$

Identity on \mathcal{E}' so must have $\nabla'_i = \nabla'_{i+1}$ for all i .

Proof: length category

Assume: only finitely many indecomposable torsion sheaves $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_m$ admit χ -connection.

To show: $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ is artinian.

Take descending chain inside (\mathcal{E}, ∇)

$$(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \supseteq (\mathcal{E}_1, \nabla_1) \supseteq (\mathcal{E}_2, \nabla_2) \supseteq \dots$$

Then rank \mathcal{E}_i form decreasing sequence, so stabilises.

Proof: length category

Assume: only finitely many indecomposable torsion sheaves $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_m$ admit χ -connection.

To show: $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ is artinian.

Take descending chain inside (\mathcal{E}, ∇)

$$(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \supseteq (\mathcal{E}_1, \nabla_1) \supseteq (\mathcal{E}_2, \nabla_2) \supseteq \dots$$

Then rank \mathcal{E}_i form decreasing sequence, so stabilises.

May assume ranks constant, so $\mathcal{T}_i = \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_i$ torsion.

Enough to show induced sequence stabilises

$$(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, \nabla'_2) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_1, \nabla'_1)$$

Proof: length category

Have sequence

$$(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, \nabla'_2) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_1, \nabla'_1)$$

Assumption gives: each \mathcal{T}_i lies in $\text{add}(\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_m)$.

Proof: length category

Have sequence

$$(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, \nabla'_2) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_1, \nabla'_1)$$

Assumption gives: each \mathcal{T}_i lies in $\text{add}(\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_m)$.

Surjection $\mathcal{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}_i$, so multiplicity of \mathcal{G}_j in \mathcal{T}_i bounded by

$$\dim \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}_j)$$

Only finitely many choices for \mathcal{T}_i . One \mathcal{T} occurs infinitely often.

For surjection $(\mathcal{T}, \nabla') \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{T}, \nabla'')$ must have $\nabla' = \nabla''$.

Thus sequence stabilises.

Proof: finitely many indec torsion with connection

Remains to show only finitely many indecomposable torsion with connection.

Proof: finitely many indec torsion with connection

Remains to show only finitely many indecomposable torsion with connection.

Have finitely many classes $x_i \in K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X})$ such that every indecomposable torsion \mathcal{T} has class

$$[\mathcal{T}] = x_m + [\pi_! S] \quad \text{some } i, \text{ some } S \in \text{tor } \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ with } \pi_! S \subseteq \mathcal{T}.$$

Take $x_0 = 0$ and classes of indecomposables in $\text{tor}_{a_i} \mathbb{X}$ of length $< w_j$.

Proof: finitely many indec torsion with connection

Remains to show only finitely many indecomposable torsion with connection.

Have finitely many classes $x_i \in K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X})$ such that every indecomposable torsion \mathcal{T} has class

$$[\mathcal{T}] = x_m + [\pi_! S] \quad \text{some } i, \text{ some } S \in \text{tor } \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ with } \pi_! S \subseteq \mathcal{T}.$$

Sheaf $\pi_! S \in \text{tor } \mathbb{X}$ has rank 0 and $\deg(\pi_! S)_d = b$ constant.

Thus $\chi[\pi_! S] = -b(\nu_0 + \sum_{ip} \nu_{ip}) = -b\nu$.

Proof: finitely many indec torsion with connection

Remains to show only finitely many indecomposable torsion with connection.

Have finitely many classes $x_i \in K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X})$ such that every indecomposable torsion \mathcal{T} has class

$$[\mathcal{T}] = x_m + [\pi_! S] \quad \text{some } i, \text{ some } S \in \text{tor } \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ with } \pi_! S \subseteq \mathcal{T}.$$

Sheaf $\pi_! S \in \text{tor } \mathbb{X}$ has rank 0 and $\deg(\pi_! S)_d = b$ constant.

Thus $\chi[\pi_! S] = -b(\nu_0 + \sum_{ip} \nu_{ip}) = -b\nu$.

If $\text{char } k = 0$ and $\nu \neq 0$, then this is never zero for $S \neq 0$.

Thus $\chi[\mathcal{T}] = 0$ implies $x_i \neq 0$, so \mathcal{T} supported at some weighted point a_i .

Then unique choice for b , and unique choice for S with $\pi_! S \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

Existence

Theorem

*Suppose k has characteristic zero, or is algebraically closed.
A sheaf $\mathcal{E} \in \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ admits χ -connection if and only if*

$$\chi[\mathcal{E}'] = 0 \quad \forall \text{ direct summands } \mathcal{E}' \text{ of } \mathcal{E}$$

Have more complicated statement for general field

Existence

Theorem

*Suppose k has characteristic zero, or is algebraically closed.
A sheaf $\mathcal{E} \in \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ admits χ -connection if and only if*

$$\chi[\mathcal{E}'] = 0 \quad \forall \text{ direct summands } \mathcal{E}' \text{ of } \mathcal{E}$$

Have more complicated statement for general field

Proof

Have $\beta_\chi(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ if and only if $\beta_\chi(\mathcal{E}') = 0$ for all summands \mathcal{E}' .

Existence

Theorem

Suppose k has characteristic zero, or is algebraically closed.
 A sheaf $\mathcal{E} \in \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ admits χ -connection if and only if

$$\chi[\mathcal{E}'] = 0 \quad \forall \text{ direct summands } \mathcal{E}' \text{ of } \mathcal{E}$$

Have more complicated statement for general field

Proof

Have $\beta_\chi(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ if and only if $\beta_\chi(\mathcal{E}') = 0$ for all summands \mathcal{E}' .

Suppose \mathcal{E} indecomposable. Have $\Lambda = \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ local and map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow \text{End}_k(\Lambda/J(\Lambda)), \quad f \mapsto \bar{f}$$

Then Serre pairing $\langle f, \beta_\chi(\mathcal{E}) \rangle$ is proportional to $\text{tr}(\bar{f})\chi[\mathcal{E}]$.

Easy first property

Want to understand simple objects $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$.

Lemma

Take $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$. If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \oplus \mathcal{E}''$ with $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{E}') = 0$, then have subobject $(\mathcal{E}', \nabla') \subseteq (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$.

Easy first property

Want to understand simple objects $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$.

Lemma

Take $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$. If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \oplus \mathcal{E}''$ with $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{E}') = 0$, then have subobject $(\mathcal{E}', \nabla') \subseteq (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$.

Proof

Consider composite $\mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\left(\frac{1}{\nabla}\right)_\ell} B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow B_\chi(\mathcal{E}'')$

Vanishes when composed with $B_\chi(\mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}''$,
 so factors uniquely through kernel $\mathcal{E}''(\omega)$
 but $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}''(\omega)) \cong D \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{E}') = 0$.

Easy first property

Want to understand simple objects $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$.

Lemma

Take $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$. If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \oplus \mathcal{E}''$ with $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{E}') = 0$, then have subobject $(\mathcal{E}', \nabla') \subseteq (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$.

Proof

Consider composite $\mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\left(\frac{1}{\nabla}\right)_\iota} B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow B_\chi(\mathcal{E}'')$

Vanishes when composed with $B_\chi(\mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}''$,
 so factors uniquely through kernel $\mathcal{E}''(\omega)$
 but $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}''(\omega)) \cong D \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{E}') = 0$.

Thus $\left(\frac{1}{\nabla}\right)_\iota: \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow B_\chi(\mathcal{E})$ factors uniquely through $B_\chi(\mathcal{E}')$.

Domestic case

Let Q_* be Dynkin. Then $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ is derived equivalent to $\text{mod } \Lambda$ for tame hereditary algebra Λ .

Domestic case

Let Q_* be Dynkin. Then $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ is derived equivalent to $\text{mod } \Lambda$ for tame hereditary algebra Λ .

Have $K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{Z}\partial \oplus K_0(Q_*)$ and $K_0(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}\delta \oplus K_0(Q_*)$.

Identify via $\partial \mapsto \delta$.

Domestic case

Let Q_* be Dynkin. Then $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ is derived equivalent to $\text{mod } \Lambda$ for tame hereditary algebra Λ .

Have $K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{Z}\partial \oplus K_0(Q_*)$ and $K_0(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}\delta \oplus K_0(Q_*)$.

Identify via $\partial \mapsto \delta$.

Let $\Phi \subset K_0(Q_*)$ be root system. Roots for Λ contained in $\mathbb{Z}\delta \times (\Phi \cup \{0\})$.

Classes of indecomposable Λ -modules correspond to positive roots.

Domestic case

Let Q_* be Dynkin. Then $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ is derived equivalent to $\text{mod } \Lambda$ for tame hereditary algebra Λ .

Have $K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{Z}\partial \oplus K_0(Q_*)$ and $K_0(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}\delta \oplus K_0(Q_*)$.

Identify via $\partial \mapsto \delta$.

Let $\Phi \subset K_0(Q_*)$ be root system. Roots for Λ contained in $\mathbb{Z}\delta \times (\Phi \cup \{0\})$.

Classes of indecomposable Λ -modules correspond to positive roots.

Theorem

Classes of indecomposable locally free sheaves correspond to

$$\text{roots } a\partial + x \text{ with } x_* > 0$$

Classes of indecomposable torsion sheaves correspond to

$$\text{roots } a\partial \text{ with } a > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{roots } a\partial + x \text{ with } a \geq 0, x_* = 0$$

Domestic case

For a root $x \in \Phi$ there is at most one $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\chi(a\partial + x) = 0$.

As $\chi(\partial) = \nu \neq 0$ only finitely many indecomposable sheaves admit χ -connection.

We may assume they all lie in $\text{Gen } \mathcal{T}$ for some tilting $\mathcal{T} \in \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$ with $\text{End}(\mathcal{T}) \cong \Lambda$.

Domestic case

For a root $x \in \Phi$ there is at most one $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\chi(a\partial + x) = 0$.

As $\chi(\partial) = \nu \neq 0$ only finitely many indecomposable sheaves admit χ -connection.

We may assume they all lie in $\text{Gen } \mathcal{T}$ for some tilting $\mathcal{T} \in \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$ with $\text{End}(\mathcal{T}) \cong \Lambda$.

Theorem

The fully faithful functor

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, -): \text{Gen } \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \text{mod } \Lambda$$

lifts to an equivalence

$$\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X} \cong \text{mod } \Pi^x$$

where Π^x is a deformed preprojective algebra.

Domestic case

Have an equivalence $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X} \cong \text{mod } \Pi^\chi$.

The dimension vectors of the simple Π^χ -modules were described by Crawley-Boevey and Holland.

Let $R_\chi = \{\text{roots } a\delta + x \mid \chi(a\delta + x) = 0\}$. This is finite since $\chi(\delta) \neq 0$.

Let $\Sigma_\chi \subseteq R_\chi$ be the minimal positive elements.

Theorem

There exists a simple Π^χ -module of dimension vector $a\delta + x$ if and only if $a\delta + x \in \Sigma_\chi$.

Logarithmic connections

Following Mihai, consider pushout of Atiyah sequence along product $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$, having degree $h = \sum_i h_i$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(-2) & \longrightarrow & A(E) & \longrightarrow & E \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(h-2) & \longrightarrow & M(E) & \longrightarrow & E \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Explicitly, the sheaf $M(E)$ has charts $E^\pm \times E^\pm$, where

$$s^\pm \text{ acts via } \begin{pmatrix} s^\pm & 0 \\ \pm \sigma^\pm & s^\pm \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and glue is } \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & s^{h-2\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Logarithmic connections

Call ∇ a **log connection** if $\left(\frac{1}{\nabla}\right)$ is a section of the pushout sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E(h-2) \longrightarrow M(E) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

Thus log connection given by k -linear ∇^\pm such that

$$\nabla^\pm(s^\pm x) = s^\pm \nabla^\pm(x) \pm \sigma^\pm x \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^+(\theta(x)) = s^{h-2} \theta(\nabla^-(x))$$

Logarithmic connections have at most simple poles at the points a_i

Again, get abelian category $\text{log conn } \mathbb{P}^1$ having objects (E, ∇) consisting of a sheaf E equipped with a log connection ∇ .

Example

Take $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{0, \infty\}$, so $\sigma = uv$.

For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in k$ have log connection ∇_α on $\mathcal{O}(m)$ given by

$$\nabla_\alpha^+(s^r) = (\alpha + r)s^r \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_\alpha^-(s^{-r}) = (\alpha + m - r)s^{-r}$$

Morphism $u^n: \mathcal{O}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m+n)$ yields morphism

$$u^n: (\mathcal{O}(m), \nabla_\alpha) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(m+n), \nabla_{\alpha-n}).$$

Similarly for morphism v^n .

Note
Taking their cokernels shows that torsion sheaves supported at a_i admit log connections.

Residues

If $E \in \text{loc } \mathbb{P}^1$, then $\sigma: E(-h) \rightarrow E$ is injective with cokernel

$$E[\sigma] = \bigoplus_i E[a_i]$$

the direct sum of the fibres.

Thus $\sigma: E(-2) \rightarrow E(h-2)$, and also $A(E) \rightarrow M(E)$, has cokernel $E[\sigma](h-2)$.

Need explicit isomorphism to $E[\sigma]$.

Residues

If $E \in \text{loc } \mathbb{P}^1$, then $\sigma: E(-h) \rightarrow E$ is injective with cokernel

$$E[\sigma] = \bigoplus_i E[a_i]$$

the direct sum of the fibres.

Thus $\sigma: E(-2) \rightarrow E(h-2)$, and also $A(E) \rightarrow M(E)$, has cokernel $E[\sigma](h-2)$.

Need explicit isomorphism to $E[\sigma]$. Set

$$\rho^+ = d(\sigma^+)/d(s^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^- = -d(\sigma^-)/d(s^-).$$

Then $\rho^+ = s^{h-2}\rho^- + hs^-\sigma^+$. As σ^+ acts as zero on all $\mathcal{T} \in \text{add}(S_\sigma)$, get isomorphism

$$\rho: \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(h-2) \quad \forall \mathcal{T} \in \text{add}(S_\sigma).$$

Residues

Let ∇ be a log connection on locally free sheaf E .

Have exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(-h) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & E & \longrightarrow & E[\sigma] \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \sigma \\ \rho + \nabla \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \downarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \nabla \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \exists \downarrow \rho \text{Res } \nabla \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A(E) & \longrightarrow & M(E) & \longrightarrow & E[\sigma](h-2) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Call induced map $\text{Res } \nabla \in \text{End}(E[\sigma])$ the **residue** of ∇ .

Decomposes to give residue maps $\text{Res}_i \nabla \in \text{End}(E[a_i])$ on fibres.

Example

Take $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{0, \infty\}$, so $\sigma = uv$. Take $\alpha \in k$. Have log connection ∇ on $\mathcal{O}(m)$

$$\nabla^+(s^r) = (\alpha + r)s^r, \quad \nabla^-(s^{-r}) = (\alpha + m - r)s^{-r}$$

Example

Take $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{0, \infty\}$, so $\sigma = uv$. Take $\alpha \in k$. Have log connection ∇ on $\mathcal{O}(m)$

$$\nabla^+(s^r) = (\alpha + r)s^r, \quad \nabla^-(s^{-r}) = (\alpha + m - r)s^{-r}$$

Have $\rho^\pm = \pm 1$. To compute $\text{Res}_0 \nabla$ use charts on U^+

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & k[s] & \xrightarrow{s} & k[s] & \longrightarrow & k \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} s \\ 1 + \nabla^+ \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \downarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \nabla^+ \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \downarrow \text{Res}_0 \nabla \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & k[s] \rtimes k[s] & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}} & k[s] \rtimes k[s] & \longrightarrow & k \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Get $\text{Res}_0 \nabla = \alpha$. Using charts on U^- get $\text{Res}_\infty \nabla = -(\alpha + m)$.

Connections on parabolic sheaves

If (E, ∇) is a parabolic sheaf, and ∇ a log connection on E , then can ask how the residues

$$\text{Res}_i \nabla : E[a_i] \rightarrow E[a_i]$$

interact with the flags

$$0 = V_{i,w_i} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_{i,1} \subseteq V_{i,0} = E[a_i]$$

Connections on parabolic sheaves

If (E, ∇) is a parabolic sheaf, and ∇ a log connection on E , then can ask how the residues

$$\text{Res}_i \nabla : E[a_i] \rightarrow E[a_i]$$

interact with the flags

$$0 = V_{i,w_i} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_{i,1} \subseteq V_{i,0} = E[a_i]$$

Given scalars $\zeta_{ip} \in k$, call ∇ a ζ -**connection** on (E, ∇) provided

$$(\text{Res}_i \nabla - \zeta_{ip})(V_{i,p-1}) \subseteq V_{i,p} \quad \forall i, p$$

Get category $\text{conn}_\zeta \text{ par } \mathbb{P}^1$.

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Recall: Equivalence of categories $\text{par } \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$.

Define subcategory $\text{conn}_\chi \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$ by taking those $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ with $\mathcal{E} \in \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$.

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Recall: Equivalence of categories $\text{par } \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$.

Define subcategory $\text{conn}_\chi \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$ by taking those $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ with $\mathcal{E} \in \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$.

Theorem

Fix scalars ζ_{ip} . Define $\chi: K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow k$ using

$$\mu = - \sum_i h_i \zeta_{iw_i}, \quad \nu_0 = 1 + \sum_i (\zeta_{i1} - \zeta_{iw_i}), \quad \nu_{ip} = \zeta_{ip+1} - \zeta_{ip}.$$

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Recall: Equivalence of categories $\text{par } \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$.

Define subcategory $\text{conn}_\chi \text{ loc } \mathbb{X}$ by taking those $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ with $\mathcal{E} \in \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$.

Theorem

Fix scalars ζ_{ip} . Define $\chi: K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow k$ using

$$\mu = - \sum_i h_i \zeta_{iw_i}, \quad \nu_0 = 1 + \sum_i (\zeta_{i1} - \zeta_{iw_i}), \quad \nu_{ip} = \zeta_{ip+1} - \zeta_{ip}.$$

Then the equivalence $\text{par } \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$ extends to an equivalence

$$\text{conn}_\zeta \text{ par } \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{conn}_\chi \text{ loc } \mathbb{X}$$

of categories of sheaves equipped with a connection.

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Idea of proof.

Equivalence $\text{par } \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$ follows from pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Idea of proof.

Equivalence $\text{par } \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$ follows from pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Also have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\omega) \longrightarrow B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0$$

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Idea of proof.

Equivalence $\text{par } \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{loc } \mathbb{X}$ follows from pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Also have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\omega) \longrightarrow B_{\chi}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(-2) & \longrightarrow & A(E) & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(h-2) & \longrightarrow & M(E) & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Now combine to get diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0(-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_! A(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(\omega) & \longrightarrow & B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0(-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* A(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow \cong & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0(h-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* M(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Now combine to get diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0(-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_! A(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(\omega) & \longrightarrow & B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0(-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* A(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\
 & 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0(h-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* M(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Now combine to get diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0(-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_! A(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(\omega) & \longrightarrow & B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0(-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* A(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0(h-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* M(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Connections on parabolic sheaves

Now combine to get diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0(-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_! A(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_! E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(\omega) & \longrightarrow & B_\chi(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0(-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* A(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow \cong & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0(h-2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* M(E_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* E_0 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Summary

Have defined category $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ of sheaves together with a χ -connection. It is k -linear, abelian, noetherian. In nice cases also a length category.

Summary

Have defined category $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ of sheaves together with a χ -connection. It is k -linear, abelian, noetherian. In nice cases also a length category.

Given ζ there exists χ and an equivalence $\text{conn}_\chi \text{loc } \mathbb{X} \cong \text{conn}_\zeta \text{par } \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus locally free sheaves on \mathbb{X} with a connection are the same as parabolic sheaves on \mathbb{P}^1 with an appropriate log connection.

Summary

Have defined category $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ of sheaves together with a χ -connection. It is k -linear, abelian, noetherian. In nice cases also a length category.

Given ζ there exists χ and an equivalence $\text{conn}_\chi \text{loc } \mathbb{X} \cong \text{conn}_\zeta \text{par } \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus locally free sheaves on \mathbb{X} with a connection are the same as parabolic sheaves on \mathbb{P}^1 with an appropriate log connection.

Basic aim is to describe classes $[\mathcal{E}] \in K_0(\text{coh } \mathbb{X})$ for simple objects $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$.

Can do this easily in domestic type by passing to deformed preprojective algebras.

Next time

Introduce the Deligne – Simpson Problem.

Relate to parabolic sheaves with connection via functor

$$\text{conn}_\zeta \text{ par } \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \text{log conn } \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (E, V, \nabla) \mapsto (E, \nabla)$$

forgetting the parabolic structure.

Reinterpret in terms of $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$.

Give local description of $\text{conn}_\chi \mathbb{X}$ via deformed preprojective algebras.

Thank You !