

On relative Koszul duality and dg enhanced orbit categories

Fan, Li

with Yu Qiu and Bernhard Keller
arXiv:2405.00093

Tsinghua University

ICRA 21, Shanghai, 2024.08.08

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Relative Koszul duality
- 4 Dg orbit categories (*)

1 Motivations

2 Preliminaries

3 Relative Koszul duality

4 Dg orbit categories (*)

Our goals

- Goal 1.** Give a general construction of (pre)triangulated hull of (dg) orbit categories.
- Goal 2.** Generalize Ikeda-Qiu and Happel's results respectively.
- Goal 3.** Connect them via relative Koszul duality.

- Let A be a f.d. hereditary algebra over a field \mathbf{k} .
- Cluster category (Buan-Marsh-Reineke-Reiten-Todorov) as the orbit category

$$\mathcal{C}_2(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) / \tau^{-1} \circ [1],$$

where τ is the AR-translation functor.

- Additive categorification of cluster algebras (Fomin-Zelevinsky) via cluster tilting theory.

Higher cluster categories without the hereditary assumptions

- Let A be a f.d. \mathbf{k} -algebra with $\text{gldim } A < \infty$ and $m \geq 2$ an integer.
- $\text{per } A$ admits a Serre functor $\mathbb{S} := - \otimes_A^L DA$ and set $\Sigma_m := \mathbb{S} \circ [-m]$, which is an automorphism of $\text{per } A$.
- The orbit category $\text{per } A/\Sigma_m$ has a triangulated hull (Keller)

$$\mathcal{C}_m(A) := \langle A \rangle_B / \text{per } B,$$

where B is the dg algebra $A \oplus DA[-m-1]$.

- The m -cluster category $\mathcal{C}_m(A)$ of A coincides with $\text{per } A/\Sigma_m$ when A is hereditary.

Goal 1. Give a general construction of (pre)triangulated hull of (dg) orbit categories.

Generalized cluster categories

Amiot-Guo-Keller defined a generalized version of cluster category.

- For an integer N , the N -Calabi-Yau completion of A is the derived dg tensor algebra

$$\Pi_N A = T_A(\Theta) = A \oplus \Theta \oplus (\Theta \otimes_A \Theta) \oplus \cdots,$$

where $\Theta = \theta[N-1]$, θ is a cofibrant replacement of $\mathrm{RHom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$ and $A^e = A^{op} \otimes_k A$.

- $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A) := \mathrm{per}(\Pi_N A) / \mathrm{pvd}(\Pi_N A)$, the generalized $(N-1)$ -cluster category.
- $\mathrm{pvd}(\Pi_N A)$ is N -Calabi-Yau and if $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A)$ is Hom-finite, then there is a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{N-1}(A).$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A)$ is $(N-1)$ -Calabi-Yau.

\mathbb{X} -Calabi-Yau completion and ∞ -cluster category

- Let A be a connective, smooth and proper dg algebra.
- The \mathbb{X} -Calabi-Yau completion $\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}(A)$ of A is a dbg algebra

$$T_A(\Theta) = A \oplus \Theta \oplus (\Theta \otimes_A \Theta) \oplus \cdots$$

for $\Theta = \theta[\mathbb{X} - 1]$.

- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) := \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) / \text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)$, the ∞ -cluster category.

Theorem (Keller, Ikeda-Qiu)

$\text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}(A))$ is \mathbb{X} -Calabi-Yau and $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)$ is $(\mathbb{X} - 1)$ -Calabi-Yau.

Theorem (Ikeda-Qiu)

We have a triangle equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{S} = ? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A DA & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{X} - 1] \\
 \text{per } A & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A).
 \end{array}
 \tag{1}$$

Note that $\mathbb{S} = \tau^{-1} \circ [1]$ is the Serre functor.

Goal 2. Generalize Ikeda-Qiu's results above.

Perfect derived category as singularity categories

- Let A be a f.d. algebra with $\text{gldim } A < \infty$.
- Let $E_{\mathbb{X}} = A \oplus DA[-\mathbb{X}]$ be the trivial extension of A .
- $\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{\mathbb{X}})$ is equivalent to $\text{mod}(\hat{A})$, where \hat{A} is the repetitive algebra.

Theorem (Happel, Rickard)

There is an equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{S} \circ [1] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & [\mathbb{X}] \\
 \text{per } A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{\mathbb{X}}) \rightarrow \text{sg}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{\mathbb{X}}) := \text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{\mathbb{X}}) / \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{\mathbb{X}}).
 \end{array}
 \quad (2)$$

Goal 3. Generalize Happel's results above and build a connection with Ikeda-Qiu's results.

Contents

1 Motivations

2 Preliminaries

3 Relative Koszul duality

4 Dg orbit categories (*)

Differential bigraded categories/algebras

- Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field.
- Let $\text{Grm}(\mathbf{k})$ be the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbf{k} -modules $M = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} M_p$ with grading shift given by $(M[\mathbb{X}])_p = M_{p+1}$.
- Let $\mathcal{C}_{dbg}(\mathbf{k})$ be the dg category of complexes over $\text{Grm}(\mathbf{k})$.
- The differential bigraded (dbg) category \mathcal{A} is a category enriched in $\mathcal{C}_{dbg}(\mathbf{k})$.

Remark

If \mathcal{A} is a dbg category with one object $*$, then we can identify \mathcal{A} with the dbg algebra $A = \mathcal{A}(*, *)$, i.e. a dg \mathbf{k} -algebra endowed with the Adams \mathbb{Z} -grading

$$A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A_p$$

such that $|d| = (1, 0)$.

The derived categories

The bigraded derived category $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A})$ is the category of dbg modules $M : \mathcal{A}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{dbg}(\mathbf{k})$ localized at the $s : M \rightarrow L$ such that $s_p X : L_p X \rightarrow M_p X$ is a quasi-isomorphism for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark

$\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A})$ is compactly generated by $\{X^\wedge[p\mathbb{X}], p \in \mathbb{Z}, X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})\}$, where $X^\wedge := \mathcal{A}(?, X)$.

The perfect derived category is

$$\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}) := \text{thick}\{X^\wedge[p\mathbb{X}], p \in \mathbb{Z}, X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

The perfectly valued derived category is

$$\text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}) := \{M \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}) \mid MX \in \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{K}), \forall X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})\},$$

where \mathbf{K} is \mathbf{k} when regarded as a dbg algebra with trivial grading shift.

Let A be a dg algebra, B a dbg algebra and $i_A^B : A \rightarrow B$ a morphism of dbg algebras. There is an induced restriction functor:

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A).$$

Definition

We define the relative perfectly valued derived categories of B , with respect to A , to be

$$\text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(B, A) := \{M \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(B) \mid M|_A \in \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)\}. \quad (3)$$

Let $X \in \mathcal{D}(A^e)$ an invertible dg bimodule with inverse Y , i.e.

$$X \overset{L}{\otimes}_A Y \simeq A \text{ and } Y \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X \simeq A$$

in $\mathcal{D}(A^e)$.

Write \hat{X} for a cofibrant resolution of $X[\mathbb{X} - 1]$ and let

$$T = T_A(\hat{X}) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \hat{X}^{\otimes_A^p} \quad (4)$$

be the differential bigraded tensor algebra of \hat{X} over A and

$$E = A \oplus Y[-\mathbb{X}] \quad (5)$$

the differential bigraded trivial extension algebra of $Y[-\mathbb{X}]$ by A .

Remark

The relative perfectly valued derived categories $\text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T, A)$ and $\text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E, A)$ equal the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E)$ generated by the $A[q\mathbb{X}]$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ respectively.

Contents

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Relative Koszul duality
- 4 Dg orbit categories (*)

Enlarged cluster categories

Definition

We define the enlarged cluster category of A wrt X as the Verdier quotient

$$\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T, A) := \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T) / \text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T, A). \quad (6)$$

A generalization of Ikeda-Qiu:

Theorem (F-Keller-Qiu)

The composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A Y[1] & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & [X] \\ \text{?} \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright \text{?} \\ \Phi : \text{per } A & \xrightarrow{? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A T} \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T) \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_X A) \end{array} \quad (7)$$

is a triangle equivalence, where $[X] \circ \Phi \simeq \Phi \circ (? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A Y[1])$.

Shrunk singularity categories

Definition

We define the shrunk singularity category of A as the Verdier quotient

$$\mathrm{sg}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E, A) := \mathrm{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E, A) / \mathrm{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E). \quad (8)$$

A generalization of Happel:

Theorem (Hanihara, F-Keller-Qiu)

The restriction along the augmentation $E \rightarrow A$ induces an equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A Y[1] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{X}] \\ \text{\scriptsize } \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \downarrow \end{array} & & \text{\scriptsize } \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \downarrow \end{array} \\ \Psi : \mathrm{per} A & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{sg}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E, A) \end{array} \quad (9)$$

where $[\mathbb{X}] \circ \Psi \simeq \Psi \circ (? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A Y[1])$.

The relative Koszul duality

Theorem (F-Keller-Qiu)

The adjoint pair

$$\mathrm{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, ?)} \\ \xleftarrow{? \overset{L}{\otimes}_{E} A} \end{array} \mathrm{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E, A). \quad (10)$$

induces the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}, A) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}, A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \cdot \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, ?) & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathrm{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E, A) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{sg}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E, A) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \swarrow [1] \circ \Phi, \sim \\ \searrow \Psi, \sim \end{array} \mathrm{per} A, \quad (11)$$

where "all" functors commute with $[\mathbb{X}]$.

- **Classical Koszul duality.** For the case when $A = \mathbf{k}$, $X = \mathbf{k}[1 - \mathbb{X}]$ and $Y = \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{X} - 1]$, we have

$$T = T_A(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}[u],$$

where u is of bidegree $(0, 0)$ and

$$E = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-1] = \mathbf{k}[v]/(v^2),$$

where v is of bidegree $(0, 0)$. We see that T and E are Koszul dual to each other in the sense that

$$\mathrm{RHom}_E(A, A) \simeq T \text{ and } \mathrm{RHom}_T(A, A) \simeq E.$$

- **The Calabi-Yau- X case.** If A is a smooth, proper and connective dg algebra, then we can take

$$X = A^\vee \text{ and } Y = DA,$$

In this case, $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$ and $E = E_{\mathbb{X}}$ are the \mathbb{X} -Calabi-Yau completion and the trivial extension of A respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T, A)$ reduces to the ∞ -cluster category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)$ and $\mathrm{sg}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E, A)$ becomes $\mathrm{sg}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{\mathbb{X}})$.

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Relative Koszul duality
- 4 Dg orbit categories (*)

The orbit categories

Let \mathcal{A} be a dg category and $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ a dg bimodule.

The left lax quotient $\mathcal{A}/_{//}F^{\mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{A} by F is the dg category whose

- objects are the same as the objects of \mathcal{A} , and
- morphisms are given by $\mathcal{A}/_{//}F^{\mathbb{N}}(X, Y) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(X, F^p Y)$.

The canonical dg functor $Q_{\mathbb{N}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/_{//}F^{\mathbb{N}}$ acts on

- objects: it sends $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ to $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A}/_{//}F^{\mathbb{N}})$, and
- morphisms: it sends $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to $f \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(X, F^p Y)$.

The canonical morphism of dg functors $q : Q_{\mathbb{N}}F \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{N}}$ acts on the objects of \mathcal{A} as

$$qX := \text{id}_{FX} \in \mathcal{A}(FX, FX) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(FX, F^{p+1}X).$$

Definition

The dg orbit category $\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined to be the dg localization $(\mathcal{A}/_{//}F^{\mathbb{N}})[q^{-1}]$ of $\mathcal{A}/_{//}F^{\mathbb{N}}$ wrt the morphisms $qX : Q_{\mathbb{N}}FX \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{N}}X$ for any $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$.

The orbit categories with dg enhancement

The \mathbb{Z} -equivariant category $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$ consists of \mathbb{Z} -equivariant functors from (\mathcal{A}, F) to $(\mathcal{B}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}})$ whose objects are the pairs (G, γ) , where $G \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\gamma : GF \rightarrow G$ s.t. γX is an isom in $H^0(\mathcal{B})$, $\forall X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem (F-Keller-Qiu)

Let \mathcal{B} be a pretriangulated dg category. Then $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})$ induces an isomorphism

$$\text{rep}_{dg}(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}). \quad (12)$$

in Hqe .

Definition

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category endowed with a dg enhancement $H^0(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}$ and $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ be a dg bimodule. If the induced functor $H^0(F) : H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{A})$ is an equivalence, then the triangulated orbit category of \mathcal{T} wrt \mathcal{A} is defined as

$$H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})).$$

Corollary

Suppose $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a full dg subcategory such that $H^0(\mathcal{N})$ is stable under $H^0(F)$, so that F induces dg bimodules $F_{\mathcal{N}} \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ and $F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}} \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})$. We have a canonical isomorphism in Hqe

$$\text{pretr}((\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})/F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq \text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}) / \text{pretr}(\mathcal{N}/F_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}}). \quad (13)$$

Moreover, we have a short exact sequence of triangulated categories

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}/F_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{\text{tr}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})^{\text{tr}} \rightarrow ((\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})/F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{\text{tr}} \rightarrow 0, \quad (14)$$

where $(-)^{\text{tr}}$ denotes the functor $H^0 \circ \text{pretr}$.

A conjecture of Ikeda-Qiu

Let $N \geq 3$ be an integer. There is a projection $\pi_N: \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A \rightarrow \Pi_N A$ collapsing the double degree $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{X}$ into $a + bN \in \mathbb{Z}$, that induces a functor

$$\pi_N: \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) \rightarrow \text{per}(\Pi_N A)$$

which restricts to $\pi_N: \text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A \rightarrow \text{pvd}(\Pi_N A)$.

Theorem (Ikeda-Qiu, F-Keller-Qiu)

Let A be a connective, smooth and proper dg algebra. We have the following commutative diagram between short exact sequences of triangulated categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) & \longrightarrow & \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) & \longrightarrow & \text{per} A \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X}-N] & & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X}-N] & & \downarrow //\tau^{-1} \circ [2-N] \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{pvd}(\Pi_N A) & \longrightarrow & \text{per}(\Pi_N A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{N-1}(A) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array} \tag{15}$$

Dually, we also have a projection $\pi_N: E_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow E_N$ that induces functors between corresponding pvd and per, where $E_{\mathbb{X}} = A \oplus DA[-\mathbb{X}]$ and $E_N = A \oplus DA[-N]$.

Combining everything

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_X A) & \hookrightarrow & \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_X A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi_X A) \\
 \swarrow \sim & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] & \swarrow \sim & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] & \swarrow \sim & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] & \searrow \sim \\
 \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_X) & \hookrightarrow & \text{pvd}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_X) & \longrightarrow & \text{sg}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E_X) & \longleftarrow & \text{per } A \\
 \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] & & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] & & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] & & \downarrow //\tau^{-1} \circ [2 - M] \\
 & & \text{pvd } \Pi_N A & \hookrightarrow & \text{per}(\Pi_N A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A) \\
 & \swarrow \sim & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] & \swarrow \sim & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] & \swarrow \sim & \downarrow //[\mathbb{X} - M] \\
 \text{per}(E_N) & \hookrightarrow & \text{pvd}(E_N) & \longrightarrow & \text{sg}(E_N) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{C}_{N-1}(A)
 \end{array}$$

(16)

Thank you!