Cluster algebras, quantum affine algebras, and categorifications

Bing Duan (Lanzhou University)

Joint with Ralf Schiffler (University of Connecticut)

International Conference on Representations of Algebras (ICRA 21, 2024) August 8, 2024



Cluster algebras













 $\bullet$   $\mathfrak{g}$  = complex simple Lie algebra of simply-laced type,  $I =$ vertex set of the Dynkin diagram of g.

## Motivation II

 $\bullet$   $\mathfrak{g}$  = complex simple Lie algebra of simply-laced type,  $I =$ vertex set of the Dynkin diagram of g.

Motivations Hernandez–Leclerc quivers Our main results

 $U_q(\widehat{g})$  = the quantum affine algebra associated to  $g$ ,<br>where *q* is not a root of unity, with generators  $x_{i,r}^{\pm}$  (*i*  $\in$  *I*,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),  $k_i^{\pm 1}$   $(i \in I)$ ,  $h_{i,s}$   $(i \in I, \ s \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\})$ , and central element  $c^{\pm 1}$ , subject to certain relations.

Motivation II

- $\bullet$   $\mathfrak{g}$  = complex simple Lie algebra of simply-laced type,  $I =$ vertex set of the Dynkin diagram of g.
- $U_q(\widehat{g})$  = the quantum affine algebra associated to  $g$ ,<br>where *q* is not a root of unity, with generators  $x_{i,r}^{\pm}$  (*i*  $\in$  *I*,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),  $k_i^{\pm 1}$   $(i \in I)$ ,  $h_{i,s}$   $(i \in I, \ s \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\})$ , and central element  $c^{\pm 1}$ , subject to certain relations.
- $\mathcal{P}=$  the free ablian group generated by  $Y_{i,a}^{\pm 1}$ , with  $i \in I$ , *a ∈* C *∗* .

## Motivation II

- $\bullet$   $g$  = complex simple Lie algebra of simply-laced type,  $I =$ vertex set of the Dynkin diagram of g.
- $U_q(\widehat{g})$  = the quantum affine algebra associated to  $g$ ,<br>where *q* is not a root of unity, with generators  $x_{i,r}^{\pm}$  (*i*  $\in$  *I*,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),  $k_i^{\pm 1}$   $(i \in I)$ ,  $h_{i,s}$   $(i \in I, \ s \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\})$ , and central element  $c^{\pm 1}$ , subject to certain relations.
- $\mathcal{P}=$  the free ablian group generated by  $Y_{i,a}^{\pm 1}$ , with  $i \in I$ , *a ∈* C *∗* .
- $\mathcal{P}^+$  = the submonoid of  $\mathcal P$  generated by  $Y_{i,a}$ , with  $i\in I,$ *a ∈* C *∗* . Elements in *P* <sup>+</sup> are called dominant monomials.



Motivations Hernandez–Leclerc quivers and the community of the Our main results of the operations of the operation

(Chari-Pressley) Every f.-d. simple *<sup>U</sup>q*(bg)-module is a highest *l*-weight module.

- (Chari-Pressley) Every f.-d. simple  $U_q(\widehat{g})$ -module is a highest *l*-weight module.
- $L(m)$  = the simple module with highest *l*-weight monomial  $m \in \mathcal{P}^+$ .
- $\mathscr{C}$  = the abelian monoidal category of f.d.  $U_q(\widehat{g})$ -modules (type 1).
- $K_0(\mathscr{C}) =$  the Grothendieck ring of  $\mathscr{C}$ .
- **•** A simple  $U_q(\widehat{g})$ -module *M* is real if *M* ⊗ *M* is simple, otherwise *M* is imaginary. A simple  $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module *M* is prime if it admits no non-trivial tensor decomposition.

- (Chari-Pressley) Every f.-d. simple  $U_q(\widehat{g})$ -module is a highest *l*-weight module.
- $L(m)$  = the simple module with highest *l*-weight monomial  $m \in \mathcal{P}^+$ .
- $\mathscr{C}$  = the abelian monoidal category of f.d.  $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules (type 1).
- $K_0(\mathscr{C}) =$  the Grothendieck ring of  $\mathscr{C}$ .
- **•** A simple  $U_q(\widehat{g})$ -module *M* is real if *M* ⊗ *M* is simple, otherwise *M* is imaginary. A simple  $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module *M* is prime if it admits no non-trivial tensor decomposition.
- In  $U_g(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  case, simple = real. Unfortunately, the  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -philosophy is not true for general rank. For example,  $L(Y_{1,-3}Y_{2,-6}Y_{2,0}Y_{3,-3})$  is an imaginary module.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Motivations} & \textbf{Hernandes-Leclerc quivers} \\ \textbf{000@000} & \textbf{0000000000000} \end{array}$ 

## Hernandez and Leclerc's work

Hernandez–Leclerc $^1$  proved that  $\mathcal{K}_0(\mathscr{C}^-_\mathbb{Z})$  is a cluster algebra, and conjectured

### Hernandez–Leclerc Conjecture

A simple module is real if and only if it is a cluster monomial.

### Geometric *q*-character formula Conjecture

The standard truncated *q*-chracter of a real module is the *F*-polynomial of a generic kernel over some Jacobi algebra.

5/25 <sup>1</sup>D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, A cluster algebra approach to *q*-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 18 (5) (2016) > sec.

## Open part of Hernandez–Leclerc Conjecture

Following Hernandez–Lelcerc's work, we know that

Hernandez–Leclerc Conjecture *⇒* Geometric *q*-character formula Conjecture

Qin, independently Kashiwara–Kim–Oh–Park, proved that cluster monomials are real modules.

The difficult (still open) part of Hernandez–Leclerc Conjecture is that

### Reachability of real modules (Qin)

Real modules are reachable/cluster monomials.

In order to solve their conjectures, Hernandez and Leclerc<sup>2</sup> proposed the following open question:

The classification of real modules (Hernandez–Leclerc)

How to classify real modules in terms of their highest lweight monomials?

.<br>카드 카케이터 사람 카페로 카드 로드 XD Q Q - 7/25 <sup>2</sup>D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, *Quantum affine algebras and cluster algebras*, Interactions of quantum affine algebras with cluster algebras, current algebras and categorification–in honor of Vyjayanthi Chari on the occasion of her 60th birthday, Progr. Math., 337, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2021, 37–65.



Our aim is to classify reachable real prime modules

Our aim is to classify reachable real prime modules

> by establishing an interaction between additive categorification and monoidal categorification.

Hernandez-Leclerc quivers

**•**  $C = (c_{ij})_{ij \in I}$  = the Cartan matrix of g.

Hernandez–Leclerc quivers

- *C* = (*cij*)*ij∈<sup>I</sup>* = the Cartan matrix of g.
- $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  = quiver with vertex set  $\widetilde{V} = I \times \mathbb{Z}$ , and arrow

$$
(i,r)\rightarrow (j,s)\Leftrightarrow c_{ij}\neq 0, s=r+c_{ij}.
$$

Hernandez–Leclerc quivers

- **•**  $C = (c_{ij})_{ij \in I}$  = the Cartan matrix of g.
- $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  = quiver with vertex set  $\widetilde{V} = I \times \mathbb{Z}$ , and arrow

$$
(i,r)\rightarrow (j,s)\Leftrightarrow c_{ij}\neq 0, s=r+c_{ij}.
$$

• Choose one of two isomorphic connected components, denoted by Γ, with vertex set *V*.

## Hernandez–Leclerc quivers

- $C = (c_{ij})_{ij \in I}$  = the Cartan matrix of g.
- $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  = quiver with vertex set  $\widetilde{V} = I \times \mathbb{Z}$ , and arrow

$$
(i,r)\rightarrow (j,s)\Leftrightarrow c_{ij}\neq 0, s=r+c_{ij}.
$$

- Choose one of two isomorphic connected components, denoted by Γ, with vertex set *V*.
- $\Gamma^{\leq 0} =$  the semi-infinite subquiver of  $\Gamma$  with vertex set *V* ∩ (*I* × Z<sub>≤0</sub>), called an Hernandez–Leclerc quiver.



**Figure: Quivers Γ (left) and**  $\Gamma^{\leq 0}$  **(right) in type** *A***<sub>3</sub>. E 399 10/25** 

## Motivations Hernandez–Leclerc quivers Our main results Examples .<br>.<br>.



**Figure: Quivers Γ (left) and**  $\Gamma^{\leq 0}$  **(right) in type** *A***<sub>3</sub>. E 399 10/25** 



 $\xi:I\to\mathbb{Z}$  a height function such that  $|\xi(i)-\xi(j)|=1$  if *i ∼ j*.

- $\xi:I\to\mathbb{Z}$  a height function such that  $|\xi(i)-\xi(j)|=1$  if *i ∼ j*.
- Γ *<sup>≤</sup><sup>ξ</sup>* = subquiver of Γ with vertex set

*V*  $\cap$  {(*i*,  $\xi(i) + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ) | *i*  $\in$  *I*}.



## Examples



Figure: In type *A*3, *ξ*(1*,* 2*,* 3) = (*−*1*,* 0*, −*1) (left) and *ξ*(1*,* 2*,* 3) = (0*, −*1*,* 0) (right).

## Motivations Hernandez–Leclerc quivers Our main results **Examples**  $\binom{2,0}{\uparrow}$



Figure: In type *A*3, *ξ*(1*,* 2*,* 3) = (*−*1*,* 0*, −*1) (left) and *ξ*(1*,* 2*,* 3) = (0*, −*1*,* 0) (right).

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OOOOOOOOOOOOOO} \end{array}$ 



Figure: In type  $A_3$ ,  $\xi(1,2,3) = (0,-1,-2)$  (left) and  $\xi(1,2,3) = (-2,-1,0)$  (right).

 $10 \times 10 \times 10^{-2}$ These four quivers are all possibilities of Γ *<sup>≤</sup><sup>ξ</sup>* up to shift of parameter.



Figure: In type  $A_3$ ,  $\xi(1,2,3) = (0,-1,-2)$  (left) and  $\xi(1,2,3) = (-2,-1,0)$  (right).

 $13/25$ These four quivers are all possibilities of Γ *<sup>≤</sup><sup>ξ</sup>* up to shift of parameter.

### $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OOOOOOOOOOOO} \end{array}$

Inspired by Hernandez–Leclerc's work, for any height function *ξ* and *ℓ ∈* Z*≥*<sup>1</sup>, we introduce a series of monoidal subcategories  $\mathscr{C}_{\ell}^{\leq \xi}$  of  $\mathscr{C}$  as follows:

### $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OOOOOOOOOOOO} \end{array}$

Inspired by Hernandez–Leclerc's work, for any height function *ξ* and *ℓ ∈* Z*≥*<sup>1</sup>, we introduce a series of monoidal subcategories  $\mathscr{C}_{\ell}^{\leq \xi}$  of  $\mathscr{C}$  as follows:

$$
\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi} \subset \mathscr{C}_2^{\leq \xi} \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{C}_\ell^{\leq \xi} \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{C}_\infty^{\leq \xi} := \mathscr{C}^{\leq \xi}.
$$

• Inspired by Hernandez-Leclerc's work, for any height function *ξ* and *ℓ ∈* Z*≥*<sup>1</sup>, we introduce a series of monoidal subcategories  $\mathscr{C}_{\ell}^{\leq \xi}$  of  $\mathscr{C}$  as follows:

$$
\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi} \subset \mathscr{C}_2^{\leq \xi} \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{C}_\ell^{\leq \xi} \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{C}_\infty^{\leq \xi} := \mathscr{C}^{\leq \xi}.
$$

where the highest *ℓ*-monomials of composition factors of any object in  $\mathscr{C}_{\ell}^{\leq \xi}$  are monomials in  $Y_{i,\xi(i)-2k}$  for  $i \in I, 0 \leq k \leq \ell.$ 

### Theorem (DS23)

*The quantum Grothendieck ring*  $K_t(\mathscr{C}_{\ell}^{\leq \xi})$  *of*  $\mathscr{C}_{\ell}^{\leq \xi}$  admits a *quantum cluster algebra structure. The isomorphism is given by the truncated (q,t)-characters.*

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Motivations} & \text{Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text{Ours} & \text{Ours} \\ \text{OOOOOOO} & \text{OOOOOOOOOOOOO} & \text{OOose} \\ \end{array}$ 

### Theorem (DS23)

*The quantum Grothendieck ring*  $K_t(\mathscr{C}_{\ell}^{\leq \xi})$  *of*  $\mathscr{C}_{\ell}^{\leq \xi}$  admits a *quantum cluster algebra structure. The isomorphism is given by the truncated (q,t)-characters.*



### $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OOOOOOOOOOOO} \end{array}$

For an arbitrary height function *ξ* and *ℓ ∈* Z*≥*<sup>1</sup>, one can define a Jacobian algebra *A ≤ξ ℓ* (ingnore coefficients) in the sense of Derksen–Weyman–Zelevinsky.

- For an arbitrary height function *ξ* and *ℓ ∈* Z*≥*<sup>1</sup>, one can define a Jacobian algebra *A ≤ξ ℓ* (ingnore coefficients) in the sense of Derksen–Weyman–Zelevinsky.
- In particular, for  $\ell=1$ , the Jacobian algebra  $A_1^{\leq \xi}$  is a path algebra of a Dynkin quiver, with vertex set *I*.

- For an arbitrary height function *ξ* and *ℓ ∈* Z*≥*<sup>1</sup>, one can define a Jacobian algebra *A ≤ξ ℓ* (ingnore coefficients) in the sense of Derksen–Weyman–Zelevinsky.
- In particular, for  $\ell=1$ , the Jacobian algebra  $A_1^{\leq \xi}$  is a path algebra of a Dynkin quiver, with vertex set *I*.
- Let  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  be the cluster category of  $A_1^{\leq \xi}$ , which is a triangulated 2-Calabi-Yau category with cluster-tilting objects.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OOOOOOOOOO} \end{array}$ 

For each pair of non-split triangles

 $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L[1], \quad N \rightarrow M' \rightarrow L \rightarrow N[1]$  (1)

 $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} f(x) \, dx$  ind *N* indecomposable,  $g(M) = g(L) + g(M)$ and  $\mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}^{\leq \xi}_1}(L,N) = 1$ , we have

$$
X_L X_N = X_M + \mathbf{y}^\alpha X_{M'}, \quad N \in \text{mod } k A_1^{\leq \xi}.
$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Motivations} & \text{Hernander–Lecherc quivers} & \text{Ours} & \text{Ours} \\ \text{OOOOOOOO} & \text{OOOOOOOOOOOO} & \text{OOOS} \\ \end{array}$ 

For each pair of non-split triangles

 $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L[1], \quad N \rightarrow M' \rightarrow L \rightarrow N[1]$  (1)

 $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} f(x) \, dx$  ind *N* indecomposable,  $g(M) = g(L) + g(M)$ and  $\mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}^{\leq \xi}_1}(L,N) = 1$ , we have

$$
X_L X_N = X_M + \mathbf{y}^\alpha X_{M'}, \quad N \in \text{mod } k A_1^{\leq \xi}.
$$

where

*X<sup>L</sup>* is the cluster variable associated to *L*,

For each pair of non-split triangles

 $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L[1], \quad N \rightarrow M' \rightarrow L \rightarrow N[1]$  (1)

 $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} f(x) \, dx$  ind *N* indecomposable,  $g(M) = g(L) + g(M)$ and  $\mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}^{\leq \xi}_1}(L,N) = 1$ , we have

$$
X_L X_N = X_M + \mathbf{y}^{\alpha} X_{M'}, \quad N \in \text{mod } k A_1^{\leq \xi}.
$$

where

- *X<sup>L</sup>* is the cluster variable associated to *L*,
- $y^{\alpha}$  is a Laurent monomial in variables  $y_i$ , with  $i \in I$ , and

For each pair of non-split triangles

 $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L[1], \quad N \rightarrow M' \rightarrow L \rightarrow N[1]$  (1)

 $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} f(x) \, dx$  ind *N* indecomposable,  $g(M) = g(L) + g(M)$ and  $\mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}^{\leq \xi}_1}(L,N) = 1$ , we have

$$
X_L X_N = X_M + \mathbf{y}^{\alpha} X_{M'}, \quad N \in \text{mod } k A_1^{\leq \xi}.
$$

where

- *X<sup>L</sup>* is the cluster variable associated to *L*,
- $y^{\alpha}$  is a Laurent monomial in variables  $y_i$ , with  $i \in I$ , and
- the vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^I$  is the dimension vector of the image of the morphism  $h:\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}$  $c \to C$  *N* from the second exchangeable triangle.

## $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Motivations} & \text{Hernander–Lecher quivers} \\ \text{0000000}\, \text{0000000}\, \text{0000000} \end{array}$

We relate the monoidal category  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  to the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  via cluster variables. More precisely, there is a bijection



### $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernandes-Leclerc quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {0000000} & \text {00000000} \end{array}$ We relate the monoidal category  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  to the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  via cluster variables. More precisely, there is a bijection  $\Phi$  :  $\left\{\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{Indecomposable rigid} \\
\text{obicates in }\mathcal{C} < \xi\n\end{array}\n\right\}$ objects in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  $\Big\}$  Real prime modules in  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  $\left\{\setminus \{L(f_i) \mid i \in I\},\right\}$ We extend  $\Phi$  to arbitrary rigid objects in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  by  $\Phi(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \Phi(M_1) \otimes \Phi(M_2).$

### $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernandes-Leclerc quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {0000000} & \text {00000000} \end{array}$

We relate the monoidal category  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  to the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  via cluster variables. More precisely, there is a bijection

 $\Phi$  :  $\left\{\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{Indecomposable rigid} \\
\text{obicates in }\mathcal{C} < \xi\n\end{array}\n\right\}$ objects in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  $\Big\}$  Real prime modules in  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  $\left\{\setminus \{L(f_i) \mid i \in I\},\right\}$ 

We extend  $\Phi$  to arbitrary rigid objects in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  by

$$
\Phi(M_1\oplus M_2)=\Phi(M_1)\otimes \Phi(M_2).
$$

Under the map  $\Phi$ , for any  $i \in I$ ,

$$
\Phi(P(i)[1]) = L(Y_{i,\xi(i)}).
$$

### $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernandes-Leclerc quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {0000000} & \text {00000000} \end{array}$

We relate the monoidal category  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  to the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  via cluster variables. More precisely, there is a bijection

 $\Phi$  :  $\left\{\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{Indecomposable rigid} \\
\text{obicates in }\mathcal{C} < \xi\n\end{array}\n\right\}$ objects in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  $\Big\}$  Real prime modules in  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  $\left\{\setminus \{L(f_i) \mid i \in I\},\right\}$ 

We extend  $\Phi$  to arbitrary rigid objects in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  by

$$
\Phi(M_1\oplus M_2)=\Phi(M_1)\otimes \Phi(M_2).
$$

Under the map  $\Phi$ , for any  $i \in I$ ,

$$
\Phi(P(i)[1])=L(Y_{i,\xi(i)}).
$$

For  $i \in I$ , let  $f_i = Y_{i,\xi(i)-2}Y_{i,\xi(i)}$ , and the modules

$$
L(f_i) = L(Y_{i,\xi(i)-2}Y_{i,\xi(i)})
$$

are Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules.

 $\begin{picture}(100,100)(-0.000,0.000) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){$ 

Following Buan–Marsh–Reiten, there is an equivalence of categories

Following Buan–Marsh–Reiten, there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\mathscr{F}=\mathsf {Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leq\xi}_1}(kA^{\leq\xi}_1,-): \mathcal{C}^{\leq\xi}_1/\mathsf{add}\ kA^{\leq\xi}_1[1]\stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathsf{mod}\ kA^{\leq\xi}_1.
$$

### $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Motivations} & \text{Hernandez–Lecherc quivers} & \text{Our main results} \\ \text{0000000} & \text{0000000} & \text{0000000} \end{array}$

Following Buan–Marsh–Reiten, there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\mathscr{F}=\mathsf {Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leq\xi}_1}(kA^{\leq\xi}_1,-): \mathcal{C}^{\leq\xi}_1/\mathsf{add}\ kA^{\leq\xi}_1[1]\stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathsf{mod}\ kA^{\leq\xi}_1.
$$

Let  $(L, N)$  be an exchange pair in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  with exchange triangles (1). We define

### $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher} \text { quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OOOOOOOO} \end{array}$

Following Buan–Marsh–Reiten, there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\mathscr{F}=\mathsf {Hom}_{{\mathcal C}_1^{\leq \xi}}(kA_1^{\leq \xi},-):{\mathcal C}_1^{\leq \xi}/{\mathsf{add}}\, kA_1^{\leq \xi}[1]\stackrel{\sim}{\to} {\mathsf{mod}}\, kA_1^{\leq \xi}.
$$

Let  $(L, N)$  be an exchange pair in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  with exchange triangles (1). We define

$$
\kappa(L, M, N) = \underline{\dim}(\text{soc}(\mathscr{F}L)) + \underline{\dim}(\text{soc}(\mathscr{F}N)) - \underline{\dim}(\text{soc}(\mathscr{F}M)).
$$

### $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OOOOOOOO} \end{array}$

Following Buan–Marsh–Reiten, there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\mathscr{F}=\mathsf {Hom}_{{\mathcal C}_1^{\leq \xi}}(kA_1^{\leq \xi},-):{\mathcal C}_1^{\leq \xi}/{\mathsf{add}}\, kA_1^{\leq \xi}[1]\stackrel{\sim}{\to} {\mathsf{mod}}\, kA_1^{\leq \xi}.
$$

Let  $(L, N)$  be an exchange pair in  $\mathcal{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$  with exchange triangles (1). We define

$$
\kappa(L, M, N) = \underline{\dim}(\text{soc}(\mathscr{F}L)) + \underline{\dim}(\text{soc}(\mathscr{F}N)) - \underline{\dim}(\text{soc}(\mathscr{F}M)).
$$

Similarly, we define  $\kappa(L, M', N)$ .

### Theorem (DS23)

*Let*  $(L, N)$  *be an exchange pair in*  $C_1^{\leq \xi}$  *with*  $N \in \text{mod } kA_1^{\leq \xi}$  *and with exchange triangles (1). Then there is an exact sequence*  $in \mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$ 

$$
0 \to \Phi(M') \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j \in I} L(f_j)^{\otimes d_j}\right) \to \Phi(L) \otimes \Phi(N) \to \Phi(M) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} L(f_i)^{\otimes c_i}\right) \to 0,
$$
\n(2)

### $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Motivations} & \text{Hernander–Lecherc quivers} & \text{Ours} & \text{Ours} \\ \text{OOOOOOOO} & \text{OOOOOOOOOOOOOO} \end{array}$

### Theorem (DS23)

*Let*  $(L, N)$  *be an exchange pair in*  $C_1^{\leq \xi}$  *with*  $N \in \text{mod } kA_1^{\leq \xi}$  *and with exchange triangles (1). Then there is an exact sequence*  $in \mathscr{C}_1^{\leq \xi}$ 

$$
0 \to \Phi(M') \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j \in I} L(f_j)^{\otimes d_j}\right) \to \Phi(L) \otimes \Phi(M) \to \Phi(M) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} L(f_i)^{\otimes c_i}\right) \to 0,
$$
\n(2)

*or the same sequence with arrows reversed, where*

$$
(c_i)_{i\in I}=\kappa(L,M,N), (d_j)_{j\in I}=\kappa(L,M',N)+g(\text{Im}(h)).
$$

*In particular,*  $\mathbf{hw}(\Phi(L))\mathbf{hw}(\Phi(M)) = \mathbf{hw}(\Phi(M))\left(\prod_{i \in I} f_i^c\right).$ 

## $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OOOOOOOO} \end{array}$ Mesh relations I

• If *N* is an indecomposable non-projective module and  $L = \tau N$ , then  $M' = 0$  and  $\alpha = \underline{\dim}(N)$ . In this case, we obtain the following exchange relation:

$$
[\Phi(\tau\mathcal{N})][\Phi(\mathcal{N})] = [\Phi(\mathcal{M})] \left( \prod_{i \in I} [L(f_i)]^{c_i} \right) + \prod_{j \in I} [L(f_j)]^{d_j}.
$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Our main results} \\ \text {OOOOOOO} & \text {OO} & \text {OO$ 

## Mesh relation II

• In particular, for  $L = P(i)[1]$ ,  $N = I(i)$ , we consider the following non-split exchange triangles

$$
P(i)[1] \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow I(i) \rightarrow P(i)[2] = I(i),
$$
  

$$
I(i) \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{j:i \rightarrow j} P(j)[1]\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j:j \rightarrow i} I(j)\right) \rightarrow P(i)[1],
$$

our theorem yields certain equations from *T*-systems.

$$
[\Phi(P(j)[1])][\Phi(I(i))] = [L(f_i)] + \prod_{j:i\rightarrow j} [\Phi(P(j)[1])] \prod_{j:j\rightarrow i} [\Phi(I(j))].
$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text {Motivations} & \text {Hernander–Lecher quivers} & \text {Ours} & \text {Ours} & \text {Ours} \\ \text {OOOOOOO} & \text {OOS} & \text {OOOOOOOOO} & \text {OOS} \\ \end{array}$ 

A knitting algorithm of **hw**(HL-module)

We refer to the real prime modules in  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq\xi}$  as Hernandez–Leclerc modules (HL-modules for short). We give a knitting algorithm of **hw**(HL-modules).

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Motivations} & \text{Hernandes-Leclerc quivers} & \text{Ours} & \text{Ours} & \text{Ours} \\ \text{OOOOOOOO} & \text{OO} & \text{OOOOOOOOOOOO} \end{array}$ 

A knitting algorithm of **hw**(HL-module)

- We refer to the real prime modules in  $\mathscr{C}_1^{\leq\xi}$  as Hernandez–Leclerc modules (HL-modules for short). We give a knitting algorithm of **hw**(HL-modules).
- HL-modules of type A were studied and named by Brito and Chari. Our method (for type A) is different with Brito–Chari's. Moreover, HL-modules of type *D, E*6*, E*7*, E*<sup>8</sup> are new.



- Our method is valid for reachable real prime modules. In particular, we explicitly classify real prime modules for any height function  $\xi$ , in the cases where
	- *ℓ ≤* 4 in type *A*2, and
	- $\ell = 2$  in type  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ .

In the cases, we use the representation theory of cluster-tilting algebras.

Thank you for your listening!